

PingER

- [Purpose](#)
- [Examples of Use](#)
- [Case Studies](#)
- [PingER Validation](#)
- [PingER Analysis](#)
- [PingER Operations](#)
- [PingER Deployment](#)
 - [PingER Databases](#)
 - [PingER Data](#)
 - [Toolbox](#)
 - [Porting PingER Archive to NUST](#)
 - [PingER2](#)
 - [Installation](#)
 - [PingER2 Data Flow & Access](#)
 - [Work in Progress](#)
- [ePingER](#)
- [PingER Futures](#)
- [TULIP](#)
 - [Interesting papers](#)
- [Visual Traceroute \(VTrace\)](#)
 - [Introduction](#)
 - [Performance](#)
 - [Working Specifics](#)
 - [Design](#)
 - [Comparisons between MaxMind and TULIP](#)
 - [Complementarity of VTrace and MaxMind](#)
 - [SLAC to Portugal](#)
 - [Cape Town to Tokyo](#)
 - [SLAC to Burkina Faso](#)
 - [Pakistan to Washington State](#)
 - [SLAC to Sudan](#)
 - [Melbourne to French Polynesia](#)
 - [Miscellaneous](#)
- [PingER LOD](#)
- [PingER Warehouse](#)
- [PingER and BigData](#)
- [PingER and perfSONAR](#)
- [Future PingER Projects](#)
- [Progress Reports](#)
- [PingER Papers and Presentations](#)
- [Pinger Meeting notes](#)

Purpose

PingER (Ping End-to-end Reporting) is the name given to the Internet End-to-end Performance Measurement (IEPM) project to monitor end-to-end performance of Internet links. The project now involves hundreds of sites in many countries all over the world and we are actively seeking new partners for this project.

- [Brochure](#), [Examples of Use](#), [Publication](#), [Tutorial](#) , [What is PingER](#) (from ICTP/Trieste), [Submission to Silicon Valley TechAwards 2012](#).


Examples of Use

See [here](#).

Case Studies


PingER Validation

- [Development Indices and PingER](#)
- [Derived Throughput](#)
 - [Throughput versus Loss](#)
 - [Ookla Speedtest.net Throughput](#)
 - [ZDnet Australia's Speedtest](#)
 - [Ping minRTT vs HTTP minRTT validation](#)
- Also see <https://www.akamai.com/us/en/our-thinking/state-of-the-internet-report/state-of-the-internet-connectivity-visualization.jsp> which goes back quarter by quarter to 2007 with Akamai download speeds by country with a linear y scale. The full report is at: <https://content.akamai.com/pg8228-q4-2016-soti-connectivity-report.html>



- Broadband tariffs see [here](#)

Median broadband tariff index, 2016



PingER Analysis

- PingER data Analysis
- Monitoring Data Format
- Anomalous Hosts
- CERN View
- Conditional Port Probability
- Duplicate Packets
- MOS
- Derivation of Directness of Connection

PingER Operations

- [Downloading Pinger](#)
- [Requirements for Monitoring Host, Requirements for Remote Host](#)
- **Data:** [Meta database \(NODEDETAILS\)](#), [NODEDETAILS hints](#), [NODEDETAILS schema](#), [NODEDETAILS in Perl](#), [NODEDETAILS in XML](#), [Raw Data Format](#); [Adding a new node to NODEDETAILS](#) .
- [PingER FAQ](#)
- **Management:**
 - [Getting an account at SLAC, access privileges etc.](#)
 - [Data Processing Workflow](#),
 - [Archiving PingER data](#)
 - [Retrieving PingER data](#)
 - [Adding metrics to PingER](#),
 - [Finding target hosts](#),
 - [Duties](#),
 - [Gathering delays](#),
 - [Maintaining collection & analysis](#),
 - [Restoring data](#),
 - [Usage Information for Scripts](#)
 - [Daily Data Validation Management](#)
 - [Down Sites, Collection Status](#)
- [Mapping of file space](#)
- [Choice of PingER packet size](#)
- [Pakistani hosts with new PingER2 to not lose <BeaconList> March 2012.](#)
- [Moving Pinger January 2022](#)

PingER Deployment

- [PingER deployment 2008](#)
- [Shockwave Map, Google Map, Node count Intensity map, Node count GeoMap, Interactive Java Map \(VIPER\)](#)
- [Beacons](#) , [Beacon Expansion](#)
- [Monitoring Hosts, Remote Hosts](#)
- [Regions, Hosts per Country by Region](#)
- [Status of Pakistani monitoring hosts](#)
- [South East Asian Hosts \(from UNIMAS\)](#)
- [History of PingER Deployment](#)
- [Finding PingER remote hosts](#)
- [PingER at DESY](#)

PingER Databases

[Report on converting PingER flat files to a SQL database](#), Ghulam Nabi, M. Farhan Maqsoos, Bilal Naseer.

- [NODEDETAILS](#)
- [Countries and Regions](#)
- [Database Passwords](#)
- [Database Synchronization](#)
- [PingER-DB Implementation details](#)
- [PingER Schema Queries](#)
- [PingER DB usage](#)
- [Comparison of the speed of the new perfSONAR schema database versus the old flat files](#)

PingER Data

- [PingER Data Flow at SLAC](#)
- [Archiving Analyzed Pinger Data by tar for Retrieval by Anonymous FTP](#)

Toolbox

- [Add new metrics to PingER](#)
- [PingER Data Explorer](#)
- [PingER Host Searcher](#)
- [PingER Route Visualizer](#)
- [PingER Visualization\(VIPER\)](#)
- [PingER Smokeping Integration](#)
- [PingER Metrics Motion Chart](#)
- [PingER Metrics Intensity Maps](#)
- [PingER Node Count Intensity & GeoMaps](#)
- [PingER Monitored Node Status](#)
- [Deploying table.pl](#)
- [Updating ping_data.pl and traceroute.pl](#)

Porting PingER Archive to NUST

- [PingER Meta-Database](#)
- [Web interface to manage the PingER Meta-Database](#)
- [Synchronization of the SLAC and NUST databases](#)
- [Scripts for fetching data from monitoring sites and pinger management](#)
- [Analysis and Implementation of Relational Archive Site for PingER and CBG Integration with TULIP](#)
- [Pinger Archive Site](#)

PingER2

Installation

<http://www.rnoc.gatech.edu/pinger2/>, also Johari has created a shell script to automate the installation of pinger package in Ubuntu/Fedora/Centos Linux distro. The finalized installation script for pinger software for CentOS/Fedora based distribution is available from the following url (past the step by step tutorial): <http://pinger.unimas.my/pinger/install-tutorial.php>. Kashif assisted in testing the script.

SLAC

<http://confluence.slac.stanford.edu/display/IEPM/PingER2+at+SLAC>

Raja Asad Khan, October 2012

PingER2 Data Flow & Access

- [PingER2 data flow](#)
- [PingER data flow at SLAC](#)
- [Accessing PingER Data](#)

Work in Progress

1. Automating the creation of offsite.nodes directly from nodedetails.
2. Automating the creation of beacons.txt directly from nodedetails.
3. Document procedures for editing existing nodes.

ePingER

This is a project to provide low cost, low power PingER monitoring or remote stations.

- [ePingER on Android phone](#)
- [ePingER in Zambia](#)
- [ePingER in Malaysia](#)
- [ePingER at SLAC](#)

PingER Futures

- [FAQ](#)

TULIP

[Tulip Web Site](#)

[Old TULIP Web site](#)

[Tulip-CBG project report](#), by Ghulam Nabi, M. Farhan, Maqsood, Buialal Naseer, 2011

[TULIP Analysis of alpha as a function of RTT and Region for Europe, North America and Pakistan](#), by Raja Asad Khan, October 2012.

[Discussion on using International gateways to provide alpha between regions.](#)

- [AIG Paper Changes](#)
- [Automated PerfSONAR Landmark finding](#)
- [Target Data using reflector with Tier All](#)
- [TULIP: Data Flow](#)
- [TULIP2](#)
- [TULIP AIG with water exclusion](#)
- [TULIP Algorithm Alternative Trilateration Method](#)
- [TULIP Algorithm Apollonius Technique and its Performance](#)
- [TULIP Algorithm CBG with Apollonius](#)
- [TULIP Algorithm Multilateration](#)
- [TULIP Algorithm Trilateration](#)
- [TULIP Algorithm Trilateration with CBG](#)
- [TULIP Algorithm with Multilateration](#)
- [TULIP Alpha Determination](#)
- [TULIP Alpha Distributions](#)
- [TULIP Alpha Variability](#)

- [TULIP Analysis](#)
- [TULIP and UNDNS](#)
- [TULIP CAIDA RTT vs Distance Results](#)
- [TULIP Calculating distance from latitude and longitude](#)
- [TULIP Central Reflector](#)
- [TULIP Comparing geolocation techniques](#)
- [TULIP comparison of geolocation with database based approaches](#)
- [TULIP Correlation Between RTT and Distance](#)
- [TULIP Creating the Landmark XML files](#)
- [TULIP database Adding or Updating Landmarks](#)
- [TULIP database backup](#)
- [TULIP database through a GUI](#)
- [TULIP Distance Calculation based on RTT](#)
- [TULIP Distance Error \(Apollonius\) based on Alpha](#)
- [TULIP Distance Variation with Alpha](#)
- [TULIP Examples of Use](#)
- [TULIP Landmark Density \(Average Distance between Landmarks\) impact on Apollonius Error Distance](#)
- [TULIP Landmark finding](#)
- [TULIP Landmark finding the Latitude and Longitude manually](#)
- [TULIP Landmarks Analysis of tiering](#)
- [TULIP Landmarks Laundering](#)
- [TULIP Landmarks Maintenance](#)
- [TULIP Landmarks Selection of Tier=0 hosts](#)
- [TULIP Map \(Landmarks\)](#)
- [TULIP methods to improve speed](#)
- [TULIP Replicated Servers](#)
- [Tulip Report Generation Method](#)
- [TULIP results and code Mar 2015](#)
- [TULIP Roadmap](#)
- [TULIP SEECS CBG+ Archive Implementation](#)
- [TULIP Stress testing for Asia using CBG](#)
- [TULIP Stress Testing for Australia](#)
- [TULIP Stress testing for Europe using CBG](#)
- [TULIP Stress Testing for North America](#)
- [TULIP Stress Testing for North America using CBG](#)
- [TULIP Stress Testing for South Asia using CBG](#)
- [TULIP Summary for CBG \(Visualization Tool\)](#)
- [TULIP Task List](#)
- [TULIP variability of Alpha with min_RTT for Pakistan](#)
- [TULIP Web Based Visualization](#)
- [Visual Traceroute \(VTrace\)](#)

Interesting papers

[Calculating the intersection area of multiple circles](#)

Visual Traceroute (VTrace)

- [Introduction](#)
- [Performance](#)
- [Working Specifics](#)
- [Design](#)
- [Comparisons between MaxMind and TULIP](#)
- [Complementarity of VTrace and MaxMind](#)
 - [SLAC to Portugal](#)
 - [Cape Town to Tokyo](#)
 - [SLAC to Burkina Faso](#)
 - [Pakistan to Washington State](#)
 - [SLAC to Sudan](#)
 - [Melbourne to French Polynesia](#)
- [Miscellaneous](#)

Introduction

Visual Traceroute is a new feature that can be accessed by calling `reflector.cgi` with `function=vtrace`. Currently it can provide visual traceroute from 29 landmarks (2 in USA and 1 per each of 27 countries). Some of these are listed below, for a complete list check the [from dropdown](#):

1. www-wanmon.slac.stanford.edu, SLAC National Accelerator Lab, near San Francisco, California, USA
2. pinger.cern.ch, CERN, Geneva Switzerland
3. maggie1.seecs.edu.pk, National University of Science and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
4. mel-a-ext1.aarnet.net.au, Australia's Academic and Research Network, Melbourne, Australia
5. pinger.fsktm.um.edu.my, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
6. lblnet-test.lbl.gov(131.243.24.12), LBL, Berkeley, California, USA

It works by first finding the traceroute to the target using the [tracertool.pl](http://tracertool.net/) installed at the landmark node and then geolocating each of the intermediate hop routers using TULIP. It can be accessed from <http://tulip.slac.stanford.edu> or [here](#).

We use TULIP's dynamic ping-based geolocation as compared to say database methods such as used by MaxMind derived tools like GeoIPTools, since often router locations in the database tend to be given as at the corporate HQ that owns the routers (e.g. ESnet routers may supposedly be located in Berkeley).

Performance

Normally it would take about 10 mins to perform a complete visual traceroute but we have incorporated caching to speed up the process. As the router locations are found they are automatically cached in `/nfs/slac/g/net/pinger/tulip/cachetr/cache.txt`

If the location of all the routers in the requested vtrace are cached then it takes only about 10 secs to give the output.

Working Specifics

A subset of the [Maxmind city database](#) is used to find the nearest city to each router, only cities with population greater than 100,000 are considered.

- The database used is `/nfs/slac/g/net/pinger/tulip/citidb.txt`

Both PingER and PerfSONAR landmarks can be used as the traceroute source

- List of possible PingER landmarks is `/nfs/slac/g/net/pinger/tulip/pinger_vtrace.txt`
- List of possible PerfSONAR landmarks is `/nfs/slac/g/net/pinger/tulip/psonar_vtrace.txt`
- or simply see <http://www-wanmon.slac.stanford.edu/cgi-wrap/reflector.cgi?PE=set&PSE=set&function=landmarks>

Visual Traceroute can be called directly from URL. The format is:

- `http://www-wanmon.slac.stanford.edu/cgi-bin/reflector.cgi?function=vtrace&from=Landmark_HostName&target=target_IP_or_HostName`
- for Landmark_HostName see <http://www-wanmon.slac.stanford.edu/cgi-wrap/reflector.cgi?function=landmarks> in some cases the HostName is the same as IP

The From landmarks drop down list is populated from `/nfs/slac/g/net/pinger/tulip/from.txt`. This list is checked and updated daily from crontab using `vtracefromchk.pl`

Certain routers can not be geolocated because they respond to only a few pings or don't respond to them at all. A script `vtrace0chk.pl` tries to geolocate such routers in the cache and updates the cache accordingly each night.

Both `vtracefromchk.pl` and `vtrace0chk.pl` are placed in `/afs/slac/package/pinger/tulip/` and executed via trscrontab in `pinger.slac.stanford.edu` for user `pinger`.

Design

The tabular traceroute is shown together with the Autonomous System Number (ASN), if known, the router's location coordinates and distance between hops. Clicking on the IP address will take you to the TULIP geolocation utility. Clicking on the ASN will provide information on the ASN.

Two traceroute paths are shown on the google map. One (shown in red) is drawn using TULIP and the other (shown in blue) is drawn using MaxMind (an IP host location database). The hops are shown as appropriate numbered markers, the error in the estimated location is shown as a red circle. See the figure below:





This figure shows the traceroute from SLAC to NUST Pakistan. <http://www-wanmon.slac.stanford.edu/cgi-wrap/reflector.cgi?function=vtrace&target=nust.edu.pk>

Comparisons between MaxMind and TULIP

This presentation was sent to Harvey.


University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur to NUST Islamabad	NUST Islamabad to University of Malaya	CERN to NUST	Melbourne to NUST	Melbourne to CERN	Melbourne to SLAC	CERN
SLAC to CERN	SLAC to ICTP Trieste	SLAC to NUST	SLAC to UCSD	SLAC to Melbourne	SLAC to Waikato New Zealand	SLAC
SLAC to Andorra	SLAC to Malaysia	Dallas to Dallas	Dallas to Dallas detail	SLAC to emergency. slac.stanford.edu	Detail on emergency	
Strasbourg to UM	LBL (Berkeley CA) to UM	SLAC to Cape Town	Algiers to SLAC	Bolivia to BNL New York	Valparaiso Chile to SLAC	Muml Islam
Beijing to NUST	Bangladesh to SLAC	Bangladesh to CERN	Bangladesh to Rio de Janeiro	Burkina Faso to NUST	Burkina Faso to SLAC	Burki KEK



The England to Washington DC is interesting since VTrace, Maxmind and undns (orange line) give very different results for the target. Despite [Sofia University](#) advertising itself as being in Palo Alto the web server is actually near Washington DC. Also the route does not go from London to Paris as indicated by Maxmind.

Complementarity of VTrace and MaxMind


SLAC to Portugal



By providing both VTrace (red) and Maxmind (blue) routes, the results can be complementary in ascertaining the correct route. This is illustrated in the plots below from SLAC to a host in Braga Portugal (193.136.19.13). The left hand map shows how VTrace accurately traces the route across the US, while Maxmind jumps from SLAC to the middle of the US, back to Berkeley and thence to Europe. This is shown in more detail in the W. Coast US map. Moving further right, Vtrace shows the route through the Netherlands and the UK, while Maxmind misses the Netherlands altogether. The Portugal detail indicates that Maxmind is more accurate in showing the final route to Braga. However, note that the Vtrace accuracy circles indicate the considerable uncertainty in the location of the last hop, hence improving one's confidence. The traceroute figure which indicates that hops 12 and 13 are likely to be in the Netherlands and the UK given their naming conventions (note the top level domains .nl and .uk in the names). The TULIP plot shows how the paucity of nearby landmarks (black market balloons) results in the poor geolocation of the Braga site.


Cape Town to Tokyo

Cape Town to Tokyo	Amsterdam hop 9 seen by TULIP
--------------------	-------------------------------




Maxmind catches hop (8) in Europe (but gets hops 8 and 9 in London rather than Amsterdam) that VTRace (hop 8 does not respond to pings) misses and undns (orange line) misses both hops 8 and 9. VTRace catches the Johannesburg hop (4), and Pretoria hops (5) that undns and Maxmind miss. VTRace and undns catch the New York hop (10) that Maxmind misses.

SLAC to Burkina Faso



Maxmind gets the location of the hops in France, but does not do well in the US.


Pakistan to Washington State






For the Pakistan to hotmail.com (Microsoft WA) undns gets off track and goes to Australia. VTrace correctly gets hop 6 as being in Karachi (while Maxmind puts it in the middle of Pakistan), however VTrace loses track after getting to Frankfurt Germany (since hops 9-13 do not respond to pings), while Maxmind gets the end destination as being Microsoft's home of Redmond Washington.

SLAC to Sudan



VTrace gets the route across the US and to France, but not the last hops to Sudan. DeoIPTools gets Sudan as the final destination.

Melbourne to French Polynesia




Maxmind gets the location of the host in French Polynesia. However the route in Australia and the US is badly off. Vtrace and undns agree for Australia and for hops in the US. VTrace does not work for French Polynesia since the underlying TULIP does not attempt to locate a host where the nearest Tier 0 landmark (SLAC) has > 60msec min_RTT. N.b. Australia's route to French Polynesia goes via the U.S. as does Malaysia's, and Japan's. The Japan traceoute is interesting in that undns shows the route as going via Chile. This requires more investigation. The landmarks in China and Bolivia were unable to make traceroutes.


Miscellaneous

The traceroute from SLAC to UTM, Johor Bahru, Malaysia for MaxMind goes to central US, Japan, then via China and terminates in Sarawak. The VTrace goes from SLAC to Japan (undns confirms this), then to Kuala Lumpur Malaysia, then to Johor Bahru. It then takes an excursion to the Gulf of Thailand (hop 14) and appears to stop there (middle image below). On more detailed inspection (right hand image below), it is seen that it then returns to Johor Bahru and UTM (hops 15-16).

Global view of SLAC to UTM, Johor Bahru, Malaysia	E. Asia part of route	Last few ho
---	-----------------------	-------------



Looking in more detail at hop 14, only 4 landmarks have a minimum RTT of < 60ms. Three are in Thailand and one in Kuching Malaysia. See left image below. There are other landmarks in the area, one at UTM in Johor Bahru, Malaysia, another at UM in Kuala Lumpur. However, for unknown reasons neither UTM nor UM were able to ping 161.139.244.5.




Other Visual Traceroutes

- [CQ Traceoute](#), one server in St Paul, Minnesota, gives country, lat/long and distance of router from server, shows map as static image
- [DNSTools](#), one server in Frankfurt, no lat/long, provides map
- [WhatismyIPaddress](#), only takes IP addresses, tabular output identifying city, map shows end point only
- [Montis](#), has 3 servers, in US (Pacific Northwest), Europe (Ireland) and Asia/Pacific (Singapore), provides map, no lat/longs or cities
- [Visualware](#), can choose server region and a limited number of target regions, requires Java, does not support traceroutes

- [VisualRoute](#), buy application, identifies city, no lat/longs. no map
- [Free Visual](#), download free application, don't see a map
- [GTrace](#), download free application, has map
- [VTrace](#) multiple servers, gives lat/long plus error, provides map,city, country code

Of these Montis appears to be the most reasonable to compare with.



PingER LOD

This is a project to provide Linked Open Data access to PingER data.

- [PingER LOD Home page](#)
- [PingER LOD project Wiki documentation](#).
- [PingER LOD publications](#)
- [Proposed project by Ibrahim at UM](#)
- [PingER and Hadoop](#) proposed by Ridzuan, [also see the original proposal](#)

PingER Warehouse

- [PingER Data Warehouse using Big Data with Cloudera on Nebula](#)
- Applying Data Warehousing and Big Data Techniques to Analyze Internet Performance, T. M. S. Barbosa, R. F. Souza, S. M. S. Cruz, M. L. Campos and R. L. Cottrell. SLAC PUB, submitted to [NETAPPS 2015](#).
- [Survey on Big Data Indexing strategies](#), Fatima Bintu Adama, Adib Habbal, Suhaidi Hassan, R. Les Cottrell, Bebo White, Ibrahim Abdullahi.

PingER and BigData

[Leveraging PingER big data via modified pingtable](#).

PingER and perfSONAR

At one time [perfSONAR](#) supported [PingER](#). This was discontinued October 2014.

[Comparison of PingER and perfSONAR](#).

Future PingER Projects

Progress Reports

PingER Papers and Presentations

