# Searching for Dark Matter with SuperCDMS

Richard Partridge (for the SuperCDMS Collaboration) Double Beta Decay and Underground Science Workshop October 5 – 7, 2014



## A Consistent Picture Has Emerged

27% of universe is dark matter
We know a few things DM is not:

Non-baryonic, non-relativistic (cold)
Non-luminous, non-absorbing (dark)
Non-decaying, non-charged

We know nothing about what DM is:

SUSY LSP? Asymmetric Dark Matter?
Axions? Dark Forces? Sterile neutrinos?









## Challenge of Low Mass Dark Matter

- Focus of this talk is the search for low mass dark matter in SuperCDMS Soudan and SuperCDMS SNOLAB
  - Challenging kinematics for low-mass dark matter  $(m_{\chi} << m_N)!$

$$E_r = \underbrace{m_{\chi}^2}_{(m_{\chi} + m_N)^2} \underbrace{(1 - \cos \theta^*)}_{(m_{\chi} + m_N)^2} \left(1 - \cos \theta^*\right)$$

2

- Low thresholds, light target nuclei are indicated
- So why bother with low mass dark matter?

#### There are interesting theoretical motivations for low mass dark matter

- Personal favorite: Asymmetric dark matter, which connects the baryon asymmetry to a corresponding dark matter asymmetry, is natural to have light dark matter particles
- Dark sector could be just as interesting as the SM
  - Even if we discover a 1 TeV SUSY LSP, that doesn't mean it is the only DM particle
- Or dark sector could confound us with a very low mass DM particle
  - Critical to explore broad range of parameter space



#### The SuperCDMS Collaboration



	CITS	축	PliT
California Inst. of Tech.	CNRS-LPN	FNAL	Mass. Inst. of Tech.
NIST NIST Inst. of Tech.	Pacific Northwest Visionary PNNL	Queen's University	Santa Clara University
SLAC	Southern Methodist U.	South Dakota SM&T	Stanford University
			Cal LI Colifernia Borkola
U. Colorado Denver U. Evansville U. Florida			

**Richard Partridge** 





4

# Searching for Dark Matter with CDMS

 CDMS has pioneered the technique of searching for dark matter in cryogenic Ge crystals that detect both ionization and phonon signals to achieve nearly "background free" sensitivity





- Phonon and ionization electrodes are fabricated directly onto Ge crystal faces using photolithography
  - Phonons heat tungsten strips kept at transition between normal and superconducting state, producing change in resistance
  - Ionization signal helps distinguish electron recoils (highly ionizing largely background) from nuclear recoils (dark matter signal)







- Transverse surface field in addition to bulk drift field
  - Typical charge electrode bias is +2V (side 1) and -2V (side 2)
  - Phonon rails are set to ground potential on both sides
  - Surface events can be identified through their charge asymmetry





Surface events exhibit top/bottom asymmetry in both charge and phonon measurements



**Richard Partridge** 

# 15 iZIP Detectors Deployed at Soudan





- "Demonstration of Surface Electron Rejection with Interleaved Germanium Detectors for Dark Matter Searches"
  - Demonstrates surface event rejection capability of iZIP detectors
  - R. Agnese *et al.*, Appl.Phys.Lett. **103**, 164105 (2013).
- Search for Low-Mass WIMPs with SuperCDMS
  - WIMP search with iZIP detectors, analysis optimized for light DM
  - R. Agnese *et al.*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **112**, 241302 (2014).
- "Search for Low-Mass Weakly Interacting Massive Particles Using Voltage-Assisted Calorimetric Ionization Detection in the SuperCDMS Experiment"
  - Very low threshold achieved at the expense of electron recoil rejection
  - R. Agnese et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. **112**, 041302 (2014).



#### Si wafers exposed to radon adjacent to 2 Soudan iZIPs

 $Ionization Yield = \frac{Ionization (keV)}{Phonon Energy (keV)}$ 



No symmetric (blue) events in nuclear recoil band for exposure equivalent to full SuperCDMS SNOLAB expt

# SuperCDMS Low Threshold Analysis

#### Analysis strategy:

- Use the 7 detectors with lowest energy thresholds (1.6 5 keV<sub>nr</sub>)
- Blind analysis of a 577 kg-day exposure
- Optimize sensitivity for low-mass DM, allowing a small expected background at low energy where electron recoil rejection degrades

#### Event Selection cuts:

- Data quality
- Analysis / trigger thresholds
- Preselection cuts
  - Single detector hit, no muon veto
  - Ionization fiducial volume cuts
  - Consistent with nuclear recoil
- Boosted Decision Tree
  - Phonon radial, z asymmetries
  - Phonon energy
  - Ionization energy
  - Tuned to accept DM, reject BG



#### **Richard Partridge**

#### **Boosted Decision Tree**



# Boosted Decision Tree — Output

Train BDT with:

• Background: rescaled high-energy events

 Signal: <sup>252</sup>Cf NRs reweighted to expected energy spectra for 5, 7, 10 & 15 GeV/c<sup>2</sup> DM

Separate BDTs for each of 4 DM masses

Cuts on BDT scores optimized to give the best expected 90% CL upper limit

Accept events that pass any of the 4 BDTs

#### **11 Events Survive Cuts**



11 candidate events pass all cuts! (6.1  $^{+1.1}_{-0.8}$  expected)

3 with unexpectedly high energies (all in detector T5Z3 that has a chassis short in the ionization guard electrode)

95% confidence contours for expected signal from 5, 7, 10 & 15 GeV/ $c^2$  WIMPs

## **Comparison With Expected Background**

Overall, 11 candidate events are consistent w/ background expectation & most individual detectors agree w/ model

Altered electric field on T5Z3 may have affected background-model performance → further investigation in progress

#### Average thresholds (keV<sub>nr</sub>):



#### Quality + Thresholds + Preselection Number of events / 0.04 Data p-value = 14%10<sup>2</sup> WIMP→ 10 GeV/*c*<sup>2</sup> Sidewall 206 Pb Sidewall <sup>210</sup>Pb+<sup>210</sup>Bi Face <sup>210</sup>Pb+<sup>210</sup>Bi 1.3 keV line 10 Comptons Residual 40 20 -20 -40 0.5 -0.5 BDT score

Background model agrees well with events observed in preselection region  $\Rightarrow$  p-values = 8–26% for 4 WIMP masses

## Low Threshold DM Exclusion Limit

#### New regions of DM parameter space excluded

- No background subtraction all observed events assumed to be from DM interactions in calculating exclusion limit
- Assume spin independent coupling, Maxwellian velocity distribution
- Strong tension with DM interpretation of COGENT
  - Model independent result both expts use Ge target
- Tension with DM interpretation of CDMS II Si, CRESST, and DAMA/LIBRA
  - Model dependent result due to assumptions on DM coupling, velocity distribution





## **CDMS-Lite**

- CDMS-Lite mode allows ultra-low thresholds to be achieved
  - Apply ~70 V bias voltage across the detector
  - Ionization charge q produces q∆V of "Luke Phonon" energy
  - Increase in phonon signal allows 180 eV<sub>ee</sub> threshold
  - Lose ionization yield rejection
  - Flat Compton background is stretched out / reduced in amplitude
  - Unique sensitivity to very low WIMP masses



CDMS-Lite:  $E_{phonon} = E_{recoil}^{*}(1+Yield^{*}\Delta V/3eV)$ 



- Run 1: 10 day exposure with a single detector (5.9 kg-days)
  - Improves on low-threshold exclusion limit for DM mass below 4.5 GeV
- Run 2: 6 month run (same detector, improved electronics)
  - Analysis of Run 2 data in progress



Use Lindhard model to calculate energy in keV<sub>nr</sub> assuming events are nuclear recoils Richard Partridge



## SuperCDMS SNOLAB

#### Selected by NSF / DOE to be a 2<sup>nd</sup> generation DM expt

- Mixed payload of ~50 kg of silicon and germanium crystals
  - iZIP detectors provide nearly background-free performance for DM masses >4 GeV
  - CDMS-Lite detectors extend sensitivity to DM masses of ~0.3 GeV
- Expect to observe coherent scattering of <sup>8</sup>B solar neutrinos (~6/year)
  - Neutrino interactions ultimately limit sensitivity of DM searches





## **SNOLAB iZIP Detectors**

- 100 mm diameter, x2.3 larger mass than current detectors
  - 6 phonon channels / side, 2 ionization channels per side
  - Fiducial region can be defined using ionization or phonon measurements



# **SNOLAB Detector Towers**

#### New SNOLAB detector towers

- Tower provides mechanical support, cryogenic electronics, wiring, and thermal isolation
  - Detectors cooled to ~40 mK
  - 100 mK, 600 mK, and 4 K stages provide thermal isolation, cool cryogenic electronics
- 6 detectors/tower
- HEMTs at 4 K amplify charge signal
  - Goal is ~100 eV charge noise
- SQUIDs at 600 mK for phonon readout
  - Goal is ~50 eV phonon noise for iZIP
  - Goal is ~10 eV phonon noise for CDMS-Lite
- Superconducting flex circuits provide low-inductance phonon readout
- Vacuum coax for charge readout
- Engineering tower fabricated to validate tower design

**Richard Partridge** 



#### **SNOLAB Shielding**

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

Assumed bulk contaminant levels no lower than measured by other experiments for easily available radiopure materials

**Richard Partridge** 

#### SuperCDMS SNOLAB Layout

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### SuperCDMS Soudan results demonstrate:

- Robust background rejection capabilities of the iZIP detectors
- Sensitive searches for low-mass dark matter in low-threshold and CDMS-Lite modes of operation
  - Results are in tension with DM interpretation of COGENT signal (model dependent)
  - Also in tension with other low-mass excesses for spin independent coupling and Maxwell halo model
- SuperCDMS SNOLAB approved as a 2<sup>nd</sup> generation dark matter search
  - Focus on the low-mass region with DM masses of ~0.3 10 GeV
  - Deploying both iZIP and CDMS-Lite detectors, Si and Ge targets
  - Expect to observe coherent neutrino scattering of 8B solar neutrinos
  - Goal is to push to neutrino floor for low-mass dark matter
  - Building cryogenic infrastructure capable of hosting x7 larger payload
    - Ongoing discussions with EURECA collaboration to deploy additional payload
    - Provides upgrade path to follow up on any signals from noble liquid experiments