# High-energy electron irradiation of different silicon materials

3<sup>rd</sup> RD50-Workshop on Radiation hard semiconductor devices for very high luminosity colliders, CERN 3-5 November 2003



<u>D. Contarato<sup>1</sup></u>, L. Bosisio<sup>2,3</sup>, M. Ciacchi<sup>2</sup>, G. D'Auria<sup>4</sup>,

S. Dittongo<sup>2,3</sup>, E. Fretwurst<sup>1</sup>, G. Lindström<sup>1</sup>



<sup>1</sup> University of Hamburg, Germany
 <sup>2</sup> INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Italy
 <sup>3</sup> University of Trieste, Italy
 <sup>4</sup> Sincrotrone Trieste S.C.p.A., Trieste, Italy





## Outline

- Introduction & motivation
- Devices and experimental conditions
- Experimental results
  - Effective dopant concentration
  - Leakage current
  - Charge collection efficiency
  - Annealing effects
- Comparison with low-energy electrons
- Conclusions



## **Introduction: why high-energy electrons?**

- In last years, many studies on the radiation hardness of silicon detectors (from different substrates) against different particle types (charged hadrons, neutrons and  $\gamma$  rays)
- By contrast, very few contributions devoted to damage induced by highenergy (GeV) electrons, energy range of interest for future linear colliders
- Previous irradiation with 900 MeV electrons, up to  $\Phi \sim 4.5 \times 10^{14}$  e/cm<sup>2</sup>: bulk type inversion of high-resistivity standard and oxygenated FZ devices. No significant effect of oxygen diffusion up to this fluence.
- New experiment: higher fluences and wider range of substrate materials (standard and oxygenated float-zone, Czochralski and epitaxial silicon)
- Correlation (preliminary) of damage induced by low- (15 MeV) and high-(900 MeV) energy electrons, to be compared also with results from Co-60 irradiation





## **Tested devices**

 $p^{+}/n^{-}/n^{+}$  diodes fabricated on different silicon substrates (thickness ~300  $\mu$ m), provided with a 100  $\mu$ m wide guard-ring, surronded by floating rings

Standard (FZ) and oxygenated (DOFZ) float-zone devices by ITC-irst (Trento, Italy)

- fabricated on Topsil (111) and (100) substrates, resistivity~10-20 k $\Omega$ ·cm
- DOFZ: 12 hour oxidation @ 1150°C + 36 hour diffusion in N<sub>2</sub> @ 1150°C,  $[O] \sim 1-3 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$

#### FZ and DOFZ devices by CiS (Erfurt, Germany)

- fabricated on Wacker (111) substrates, resistivity~3-4 k $\Omega$ ·cm
- DOFZ: oxygen diffusion in  $N_2$  environment for 72 hours @ 1150°C, [O] ~ 1.2x10<sup>17</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>

#### Czochralski (CZ) devices by CiS

 fabricated on Sumitomo (100) substrates, resistivity~1.2 kΩ·cm, thermal-donor killed (2 hours @ 800°C + fast cooling to RT)

#### Epitaxial (EPI) devices by CiS

 50 µm thick epitaxial layer (resistivity~50 Ω·cm) grown by ITME (Warszawa, Poland) on 300 µm thick, low resistivity (~0.01 Ω·cm) Czochralski (111) substrate





#### Irradiations

- 900 MeV electron beam of the LINAC injector at Elettra (Trieste, Italy)
- fluence measured by a toroidal coil coaxial with beam
- devices kept unbiased during irradiation, at room temperature (~25°C)

step	Fluence (e/cm <sup>2</sup> )				
1	$(1.17\pm0.04\pm0.04)$ x10 <sup>12</sup>				
2	$(1.55\pm0.005\pm0.05)$ x10 <sup>13</sup>				
3	(4.86±0.03±0.17)x10 <sup>13</sup>				
4	$(8.41\pm0.08\pm0.28)$ x10 <sup>13</sup>				
5	$(2.71\pm0.01\pm0.10)$ x10 <sup>14</sup>				
6	$(5.25\pm0.02\pm0.18)$ x10 <sup>14</sup>				
7	(9.20±0.05±0.31)x10 <sup>14</sup>				
8	$(1.40\pm0.003\pm0.05)$ x10 <sup>15</sup>				

#### Measurements

- irradiated devices electrically characterized by standard I-V and C-V measurements
- C-V measurements @ 10 kHz
- currents normalized to 20°C
- isothermal annealing cycles up to a few 10000 min @ 80°C on the devices irradiated at the two highest fluences





# **Effective dopant concentration: FZ and DOFZ**



- Measurements performed after annealing for 8 min @ 80°C. Type inversion at:  $\rightarrow \Phi \sim 1.5 \times 10^{14} \text{ e/cm}^2$  for ITC-irst devices  $\Rightarrow \Phi \sim 2 \times 10^{14} \text{ e/cm}^2$  for CiS devices (higher initial dening)
  - $\rightarrow \Phi \sim 3 \times 10^{14} \text{ e/cm}^2$  for CiS devices (higher initial doping)
- Post inversion slopes (β values, lower for DOFZ devices) FZ (IRST) ~ 1.5x10<sup>-3</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>
   FZ (CiS) ~ 1.9x10<sup>-3</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>
   DOFZ (IRST) ~ 0.9x10<sup>-3</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>
   DOFZ (CiS) ~ 0.7x10<sup>-3</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>
- differences between IRST and CiS devices probably due to different starting materials and oxygenation procedures





# **Effective dopant concentration: EPI and CZ**

• samples used for various irradiations have non negligibly differing values of  $N_{eff}$ . Normalization:  $N_{eff,norm} = [N_{eff}(after) - N_{eff}(pre)] + \langle N_{eff}(pre) \rangle$ 



- type inversion not observed
  - the pre-irradiation  $N_{\text{eff}}$  is higher than for FZ substrates
  - high oxygen concentration: shallow donors generation
- EPI: small variations of N<sub>eff</sub> (comparable with measurement uncertainty)
- CZ: trend appears ~linear with fluence (slope~-1.5x10<sup>-3</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>). A simple extrapolation leads to eventual type inversion at  $\Phi$ ~3x10<sup>15</sup> e/cm<sup>2</sup>



## Leakage current and damage constant

Leakage current density after 8 min @ 80°C 3x10-3 EPI Set 1 EPI Set 2 C7 FZ-CiS € 2x10<sup>-3</sup>-E (A) E (A) DOF7-CiS DOFZ(111)-IRST FZ(111)-IRST FZ(100)-IRST 0  $5.0 \times 10^{14}$  $1.0 \times 10^{15}$  $1.5 \times 10^{15}$ 0 Electron fluence  $(e/cm^2)$ Theoretical hardness factor (asymptotic value):

• the leakage current density increase does not depend on substrate material (as observed after hadron irradiations)

• estimation of damage constant  $\alpha$  from slope of the linear fit:

 $\alpha$ = 1.35x10<sup>-18</sup> A/cm

κ<sub>theo</sub>=NIEL(900 MeV e<sup>-</sup>)/NIEL(1 MeV n)= 8.1x10<sup>-2</sup> [Summers et al., IEEE TNS 40(6), 1993]

• Experimental hardness factor:  $\kappa_{exp} = \alpha(900 \text{ MeV e})/\alpha(1 \text{ MeV n}) = 3.4 \times 10^{-2}$ 

 $\rightarrow \kappa_{theo}/\kappa_{exp}=2.4$ : the NIEL scaling hypothesis seems not adequate when comparing electrons to hadrons





## **Charge collection efficiency**

- measured with the Transient Current Technique (TCT) on samples annealed for 8 minutes @ 80°C (all devices by CiS)
- charge injection from a collimated source of  $\alpha$  particles (<sup>244</sup>Cm)
- bias voltage  $\geq$ 150 V for EPI devices,  $\geq$ 300 V for CZ, FZ and DOFZ devices
- CCE defined as the ratio between charge induced in irradiated device and charge induced in non-irradiated device



• The decrease of CCE at the highest fluences is of 1-3%, more pronounced for FZ and DOFZ devices



#### **Annealing of the leakage current**

• The evolution of the leakage current vs. annealing time is proportional to the time evolution of the damage constant  $\alpha$ :





3<sup>rd</sup> RD50 Workshop CERN, 3-5 November 2003



# Annealing of N<sub>eff</sub>: CZ and EPI



- EPI devices (non-inverted) show an increase of effective donor concentration with time, then a decreasing trend starts at (very) long annealing times. Variations anyway in the order of a few %.
- CZ devices (non-inverted) show an atypical behavior, observed also after hadron irradiation (see talk E. Fretwurst). Possible reasons... under investigation!



# Annealing of N<sub>eff</sub>: FZ and DOFZ



- FZ and DOFZ devices (inverted) reach a minimum in the effective acceptor concentration after ~10 minutes (beneficial annealing), followed by an increase (reverse annealing)
- Higher effect in FZ devices, more pronounced for CiS devices
- Measurements performed after 24 hours @ RT (for CiS devices) show bistable damage effect in FZ but not in DOFZ devices



# Parametrization of N<sub>eff</sub> annealing (FZ)

#### FZ from CiS



stable damage short-term annealing  

$$|N_{eff}(t)| \neq N_0 + N_1 \cdot exp\left(\frac{-t}{\tau_1}\right) + N_{long}(t)$$
  
 $N_{long,I}(t) = N_2 \cdot (1 - exp(-t/\tau_2))$  1<sup>st</sup> order process  
 $N_{long,II}(t) = N_3 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + t/\tau_3}\right)$  2<sup>nd</sup> order process

$\Phi_{el}  [1/cm^3]$	N <sub>0</sub> [10 <sup>12</sup> /cm <sup>3</sup> ]	N <sub>1</sub> [10 <sup>12</sup> /cm <sup>3</sup> ]	τ <sub>1</sub> [min]	N <sub>2</sub> [10 <sup>12</sup> /cm <sup>3</sup> ]	τ <sub>2</sub> [min]	$N_3[10^{12}/cm^3]$	τ <sub>3</sub> [min]
9.2·10 <sup>14</sup>	0.70±0.04	0.19±0.21	1.8±2.8	1.35±0.05	274±32		
9.2 ·10 <sup>14</sup>	0.66±0.07	0.21±0.18	2.7±4.1			1.93±0.08	280±49
1.4 ·10 <sup>15</sup>	1.5±0.06	0.34±0.15	2.8±2.3	2.23±0.07	232±20		
1.4 ·10 <sup>15</sup>	1.45±0.11	0.37 ±0.19	3.6±3.8			3.02±0.11	254±40

• Data from measurements soon after annealing well described by 2<sup>nd</sup> order process, while data measured after 24 hours (@ RT) are better described by 1<sup>st</sup> order process

• Stable damage component estimation consistent with results after 15 MeV e<sup>-</sup> and 23 GeV p<sup>+</sup> irradiations



## **Comparison with 15 MeV electrons**



- Irradiation performed in Stockholm on FZ and DOFZ devices from CiS; two fluences only available
- Type inversion not observed (checked with field profile after TCT measurements). Fluences too small?
- Annealing of N<sub>eff</sub>: estimation of stable damage component is consistent
- Next step: correlation with results from Co-60 irradiation



### Conclusions

• Effective dopant concentration N<sub>eff</sub>:

→ FZ and DOFZ substrates: type inversion observed; beneficial effect of oxygen diffusion. Standard parametrization for annealing behavior
 → EPI and CZ substrates: type inversion not observed (to be checked at higher fluences for CZ). Annealing behavior: small effect for EPI, significant but atypical for CZ

- Leakage current: no difference is observed among different materials, as after hadron irradiation. Standard parametrization for annealing behavior
- CCE: very small reduction (1-3%) observed at the highest fluence
- Preliminary comparison with other irradiations of the same substrates gives consistent results



