

Progress on CMS Silicon Tracker



I.Stavitski



CMS @ LHC



Main design features: 1. a very good redundant muon system 2. best possible EM calorimeter

3. a high quality, high granularity central tracking system



Main purpose: to search of the Higgs boson in the mass range 90-1000 Gev, explore the b,t sector of SM and search of SuSy manifestation.

I.Stavitski



I.Stavitski



Sensor's pool



Sensors produced on 6" wafers

Pitch IB 80 - 120 μm 6-4 chips/module OB 122-183 μm 6-4 chips /module ID 81/112-123/158 μm



INFN Padova



Tracker summary



INFN Padova

6,136 Thin wafers300 μm19,632 Thick wafers500 μm

6,136 Thin detectors (1 sensor)9,816 Thick detectors (2 sensors)

3112 + 1512 Thin modules (ss +ds) 4776 + 2520 Thick modules (ss +ds)

10,016,768 strips and electronics channels

78,256 APV chips

26,000,000 Bonds

470 m² of silicon wafers 223 m² of silicon sensors (175 m² + 48 m²) N of points in the SST: Total, double, double inner, double outer.





Modules construction







The module consists essentially of three elements: •the silicon sensors •the mechanical support structure and heat transport elements •the read-out hybrid

Double sided modules are achieved joining together two mechanically independent detectors, the r-Ø and the stereo detectors

I.Stavitski



Layout of the modules



I nner single sided.



...and prototype produced for tests.



I.Stavitski



Layout of the modules



Inner double sided - back2back technology.





Automatic approach



Special robot - GANTRY - is developed for assembling CMS Si tracker.

Positioning accuracy 1.6 µm productivity 10 min/module









A. Cattai -CMS week March 2001



Padova activity in CMS



1995 tests of PreShape

- 1996 first test beam with microstrip detectors, irradiated behind type inversior and front-end chip PREMUX - the resolution and efficiency are good.
- 1997 test beam with full size prototypes of CMS Tracker modules, irradiated at 2×10¹⁴ n/cm², cooled below -10 °C -
- 1998 experience with testing APV6 at laboratory and beam test with
 2 chips modules no signal, but good feedback to the electronics, DAQ and software improvement.

1999 first results with APV6 at the beam - s/n = 17 (12) ni and 12(6) irr outstanding performance of low resistive <100> Si

tests of SEU on APV6

beginning to test APV25

radiation tests of APV25 - chip is radhard

2000 first test of module with APV25 at the particle beam (π, 350 MeV/c @ PS chip shows very good performance - s/n = 22 (16) ni and 18(12) irr
 SEU measurements of APV25s1
 beam test of oxygenated Si modules with APV25S1
 2001 test of CCE of oxygenated Si with APV25

I.Stavitski



Depletion Voltage (V)





INFN Padova

PD

Using the lower resistivity silicon for innermost layers shows an advantage after irradiation.

20 350 18 0-9-69 Pd1 16 300 Pd 14 $\rho = 1 k\Omega \cdot cm$ 12 250 Pd2 10 200 8 6 150 250 300 350 450 50 100 150400 550 600 650 100 Vbios (Volts) Low resistivity ρ= 4 kΩ•cm High resistivity 1.4 kOhm cm 50 6 kOhm cm 0 8 g Time (years)

Test beam with detectors irradiated at 2.1x10¹⁴ n/cm²

Test of APV front-end chip







APV6 1.2 m Harris AVLSI RA radhard CMOS

APV25-S0 (Oct 1999)

Longer pipeline 192 [160] Deeper buffers 10 (x3) [6 (x3)] S/N improved 2000/0.36 PMOS @ 400 μ A [3000/1.4 PMOS @ 500 μ A] Switchable input polarity & differential output Reduced size 57mm² [77mm²] Reduced power 2.3mW/channel [2.4 + MUX]

APV25-S1 (Aug 2000) Final

I.Stavitski



Test of APV front end chip





APV front-end chip



INFN Padova

Chip is designed to work in two modes : peak and deconvolution. In deconvolution mode APSP filter is activated and using 3 samples from the pipeline, deconvolute 50 ns output to the 25 ns.





This permits avoid a pile-up of the output in case of the high rate events (high luminocity operation), but the noise is higher.



APV25 test



Peak mode

Deconvolution mode



I.Stavitski



APV25 test



INFN Padova

- shot noise of the leakage current I_L
- bias resistence R_b thermal noise
- feedback resistance R_F
- serial resistence R_s
- termal noise of the channel
 <u>PMOS</u>
- flicker of PMOS

Capacitance is major issue

 $ENC = a C_{IN} + b$ $ENC_{dec.} \approx \sqrt{3} ENC_{peak}$

270 +38 ENC/pF 430 + 61 ENC/pF





Test beam performance of various prototypes showing an advantage of the APV25 chip



I.Stavitski



CMOS hard against bulk damage Can be qualified with ionising sources only

> Typical irradiation conditions 50kV X-ray source Dose rate ~ 0.5Mrad/Hour to 10, 20, 30 & 50Mrad dosimetry: Si diode ~10% precision Anneal: 1 week at 100°C





Chip after exposition of 80 Mrad with 10 MeV/c electron beam changes only some parameters, which could be adjusted by reloading new ones.







After 20 Mrad with 8 MeV/c electron beam pedestals are shifted, new parameter should be found to turn back.



I.Stavitski



But gain and noise with new parameters doesn't change too much...



I.Stavitski





I.Stavitski



INFN Padova



Single Event Upset of APV25

MIPs don't create problems for electronics, but highly ionising particle near sensitive circuit node can change state of logic elements. Exists probability of knock-on silicon ions in chip

4 APV25s in three tests were used at SiRad facility at Legnaro laboratory.

Used technique: TANDEM with set of heavy ions; masking the different parts of chips.



l on	Si	CI	Ti	Ni	Br	I
LET (MeV.cm ² .mg ⁻¹)	9- 10	13- 16	20- 23	28- 32	39	62





INFN Padova

Single Event Upset of APV25



I.Stavitski

Single Event Upset of APV25

Final results : $\sigma < 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2$

implying
1 SEU event per
30 sec in the whole
tracker in worst case
= 0.15 % of all APV25
in 1 hour.

Result was verified at the online irradiation test with 350 MeV/c π beam.







Radiation effects





particle energy [MeV]

I mportant : energy transferred to the atom. Threshold to remove Si from the lattice ~15 eV

Gamma and electrons -
neutrons150 eV - produce point defectsneutrons50 keV - clusters over 600 Aprotons (high energy) -
(low energy) -- clusters majority
- point defects (major introduction rate)

I.Stavitski





α and β of irradiation

 $\mathbf{B} \cdot \Phi_{eq}$

$$\begin{split} \Delta I &= \alpha \; [A/cm] \cdot \Phi_{eq} \; [cm^{-2}] \cdot \; Vol[cm^{3}] \\ \alpha &= 4 \cdot 10^{17} \; A/cm \\ \text{almost independent on resistivity} \\ \\ \Delta N_{eff}(\Phi_{eq}) &= N_{eff0} \cdot exp(-c \cdot \Phi_{eq}) + \end{split}$$

donor removing acceptor introduction

$$\begin{split} &|\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{eff}}| = 2\epsilon_{\mathrm{Si}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{dep}} \ /(\mathrm{e} \ \mathrm{d}^2) \approx \\ \approx &1.45 \times 10^{10} \ \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{dep}} \ (\mathrm{for} \ 300 \ \mathrm{\mu m} \ \mathrm{Si}) \end{split}$$



I.Stavitski



Post irradiation



INFN Padova

During irradiation two kind of defects are produced in the crystal lattice vacancies (V) and interstitials (I) atoms.

After irradiation they are drifting and coupling with impurities present in Si - annealing process.

Mainly four defects are produced at the end:



I.Stavitski

 VO_i

 $C_i C_s C_i O_s$

VV



ROSE result

ROSE / CERN RD48 http://cern.ch/rd48



Berke

It is shown that oxygen enriched Si has lower acceptor introduction rate for charged particle fluences.

Effect is connected with higher rate of direct production of V_2O in the point defects, produced by charged particles.

Oxygen is Good, Carbon is Bad



9th International Workshop on Vertex Detectors, Michigan, USA, September 10-15, 2000 Henning Feick University of California, Berkeley

No effect for neutron irradiation, and no influence for $\pmb{\alpha}$!



Cantenary of Cantonnar, Denicity

INFN Padova



I.Stavitski

Test on the beam



Beam test with some detectors was performed in order to verify the benefit of oxygenation.

Unfortunately the result is obscured by the technological details.

detector	sensors	specification $(p,l,crystal,\rho,O)$	n(1MeV)/cm ²	readout
PD26	$2 \times Micron$	$61 \mu\text{m}, 12 \text{cm}, < 100 > 2 \text{k}\Omega \text{cm}, \text{OX}$		$1 \times APV25S1$
BA1	$2 \times CSEM$	$61 \mu\text{m}, 12 \text{cm}, < 100 > 2.5 \text{k}\Omega \text{cm}$	-	$1 \times APV25S1$
BA2	$2 \times \text{CSEM}$	$61 \mu m, 12 cm, < 111 > 6 k\Omega cm$	1014	$1 \times APV25S1$
PD27	$2 \times Micron$	$61 \mu m$, $12 cm$, $< 100 > 2 k\Omega cm$, OX	1014	$1 \times APV25S1$
VB25	$2 \times Hamamatsu$	$140 \mu m, 12 cm, < 100 > 6 k\Omega cm$	-	$3 \times APV25S0$
PD1	$2 \times Micron$	$61 \mu m$, $12 cm$, $< 100 > 6 k\Omega cm$	-	$2 \times APV6$
PD4	2 imes Micron	$61 \mu\text{m}, 12 \text{cm}, < 100 > 1.4 \text{k}\Omega \text{cm}$	$2 \cdot 10^{14}$	$2 \times APV6$

Non irradiated









CCE with laser



2 detectors – oxygenated and standard silicon strip detectors, produced by MI CRON Semiconductors (UK) diffused oxygenation, 1150 °C, 110 h, $[O] = 2.5 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ production for LOO CDF – 128 strips, 50 um pitch , 7.5 cm length Vdep = 90 V (O) and 18 V (S)

I rradiation by 24 GeV protons fluence 3-6.5 • 10¹⁴ cm⁻² measured by activation analysis. Annealing at room temperature 1 week.











ÍNFN Padova

Detectors were connected with prototype of FE chip of CMS Si Tracker, in order to perform the data acquisition.

 $t_{p} = 50 \text{ ns}$

The signal is expected to be linear with depletion depth.





CCE -setup



1111

111.

INFN Padova

Laser beam of 1060 nm wavelength was spread between few strips and scan the CCE curve along the strips.

Good agreement with particle beam data.

Better defined point of the full depletion voltage, no timing shift.



Correspondence laser test to beam data









The CCE increases after depletion, particulary for highly irradiated standard detector.



I.Stavitski



CCE-results



 ΔN_{eff} vs fluence Difference between standard $\Delta N \, eff(x \, 10^{10} \, cm^{-3})$ and oxygenated detector is not negligible, but effect is lower than expected from CV measurements of diodes: 600 β of detectors and diodes St ×10-3 cm-1 14.8 400 13.6 Oxy 7.8 3.5 ×10⁻³ cm⁻¹ 200 standard 12-24 GeV/c proton irradiation oxygenated $\begin{bmatrix} 0.3 \\ c.m_{\rm fl} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 \\ 0.6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 \\ 0.4 \end{bmatrix}$ standard FZ 0 g.=1.93 10⁻²cm fluence $x 10^{14} cm^2$ 0.6 oxygenated FZ 0.2 z=5.61 10⁻⁵cm 3! 3 4 5 6 $\Phi_{eq} [10^{14} \text{ cm}^2]$ I.Stavitski **INFN** Padova



Last news



Diodes produced by ST (Catania) on the standard substrate show behavior of oxygenated ones.

Were irradiated by 24 GeV and 34 MeV protons.





I.Stavitski

Even more



ST diodes shows higher radiation hardness after neutron irradiation !

