2021 FEE Calibration Run 14168 @ 3.74GeV

Norman Graf (SLAC) November 18, 2022

Pass0 Reconstruction

Analyze FEEs from the 2021dataset

- Dedicated FEE run 14168
- HPS_Run2021Pass0_v1
- hps-java 5.2-SNAPSHOT

Cluster X vs Y

cluster x vs y



Track X vs Y

track x vs y



Cluster Energy & Track Momentum



Track Number of Hits



Track Momentum by Track Type



Track Momentum by Track Type



8

Track Momentum vs $tan\lambda$

Track tanlambda vs p top 14 hits



Track tanlambda vs p bottom 13 hits



Cluster X – Track X





cluster x - track x bottom



Cluster X – Track X vs Cluster X

cluster x - track x vs cluster x top hole



cluster x - track x vs cluster x top overlap



cluster x - track x vs cluster x top slot



Cluster X – Track X vs Cluster X

cluster x - track x vs cluster x bottom hole



cluster x - track x vs cluster x bottom overlap



cluster x - track x vs cluster x bottom slot



Cluster Y – Track Y

-10

-9

-8

-7

-6

-5

-4

-3

-2

-1

0

1

2

3

Δ

5

6

7

8

9



¹³

10

Cluster Y – Track Y vs Cluster X

cluster y - track y vs cluster x top hole



cluster y - track y vs cluster x top overlap



clustery - tracky vs clusterx top slot



Cluster Y – Track Y vs Cluster X

clustery - tracky vs clusterx bottom hole



cluster y - track y vs cluster x bottom overlap



clustery - track y vs cluster x bottom slot



Cluster Y – Track Y vs Cluster X

clustery - tracky vs clusterx top



cluster y - track y vs cluster x bottom





Track χ^2

0 -

0

Track chisq per df bottom





Update of FEE analysis of Run 14168

- Cluster energy and track momenta appear to be OK at beam energy.
- Track momentum appears flat as a function of $tan\lambda$
- Track-cluster X positions show large (up to 5mm), excursions from zero, opposite in top and bottom
 - Clear dependence on x of cluster
- Track-cluster Y positions show substantial (~1.4mm) excursions from zero, opposite in top and bottom

Roughly flat in x of cluster position

• χ^2 for bottom tracks with 13 hits anomalously large.