

Neutron Stars and Gravitational Waves - Part 2







Cecilia Chirenti



Fermi Summer School - Lewes DE - June 2 2022

Neutron star binaries and gravitational waves

How do neutron stars form binary systems*?

*that collide in a time less than the age of the universe?

Note: we already know 10 such systems in our galaxy!



UFABC



Rates of binary NS mergers

- * before OI ???
- * after O1 110 – 3840 Gpc⁻³ yr⁻¹
- * after O₂ 80 – 180 Gpc⁻³ yr⁻¹
- * after O3: 13 – 1900 Gpc⁻³ yr⁻¹

UFABC

trend?

	01	— 02	— O3 •	O 4	05
LIGO	80 Мрс	100 Мрс	110-130 Mpc	160-190 Mpc	Target 330 Mpc
Virgo		30 Мрс	50 Мрс	90-120 Мрс	150-260 Мрс
KAGRA			8-25 Мрс	25-130 Mpc	130+ Mpc
LIGO-India					Target 330 Mpc
2015	2016	2017 2018 20	1 2020 202	1 2022 2023	2024 2025 2026



Recap: 2 events so far

* GW170817

* masses

 $m_1 = 1.36 - 2.26 M_{\odot}$ $m_2 = 0.86 - 1.36 M_{\odot}$

* distance 40 Mpc

* observed by H, L, V with EM counterpart * GW190425

* masses $m_1 = 1.61 - 2.52 M_{\odot}$ $m_2 = 1.12 - 1.61 M_{\odot}$

* distance 88 – 230 Mpc

* observed by L, V without EM counterpart



What can we learn from binary neutron star mergers



Formation of heavy elements



Determination of the Hubble constant



Neutron star equation of state



Neutron star equation of state

tidal forces



Tidal deformability causes the neutron stars to merge sooner

UFABC





Less compact stars have larger radii and are easier to deform

Determining the Hubble constant

10

Distance (Mpc)

20

measured unknown

* Chirp mass

* Amplitude

 $\mathcal{M} = \frac{(m_1 m_2)^{3/5}}{(m_1 + m_2)^{1/5}}$ $A \propto \frac{\mathcal{M}^{5/3}}{D_L} F(\cos(\iota))$ inclination angle $f \propto \mathcal{M}^{5/3} f^{11/3}$

* Frequency

UFABC







Formation of heavy elements



Where do the r-process elements come from?

Supernovae

More frequent Less mass

Neutron star mergers Less frequent More mass

GW170817 produced 200* times the mass of the Earth in gold!



* according to models



Future Timeline for Gravitational Wave Detectors

- * LIGO: O4 (planned start in mid-December 2022) and beyond
- * 3G ground detectors: estimated operation in mid-2030's
- * Space detector LISA: estimated launch 2037

[https://sci.esa.int/web/lisa/-/61367-mission-summary]



pandemic starts delays ensue



LIGO: O4 and beyond

- * What can we gain from more detections: e.g. improvements on tidal deformability constraints
- * More stringent upper limits
 * continuous waves
 * stochastic background
- * If really lucky: * galactic magnetar/supernova







LIGO: O4 and beyond

Continuous Waves

NS with a "mountain"

UFABC



[Saint-Exupéry, 1943]

* upper limits on the gravitational wave emission limit the ellipticity of the NS
* the stronger the crust, the higher the mountain it can support
* the bighest height of the NS

* the highest height of the NS "mountain" could be < 1 mm! [Horowitz & Kadau, 2009; Gittins & Andersson, 2021]





[Haskell, Degenaar & Ho, 2012]

Shape of the instability window depends on NS bulk and shear viscosities

3G: Einstein Telescope/Cosmic Explorer



- ET: 10 km (underground), could detect NS-NS mergers up to $z \sim 2-3$
- * CE: 40 km, could detect all NS-NS mergers (up to $z \sim 6$)

) UFABC





3G Detectors: ET/CE

* Low frequency sensitivity * Advance warning for EM counterparts

better kilonova observations

more multimessenger

observations!

 * High frequency sensitivity
 * pre-merger: tidal deformability and dynamical tides
 * post-merger: HMNS oscillations

Neutron star asteroseismology!

* Overall improved sensitivity
 * better upper limits (maybe detections?)

* If really lucky:
 * galactic magnetar/supernova

UFABC

Neutron Star Asteroseismology (Quasinormal mode frequencies)

- * Oscillation modes of the fluid coupled to the emission of gravitational waves
- * Different families of modes ↔ different restoring forces
- * For non-rotating (and non-magnetized) stars
 - * f-modes (fundamental)
 - * p-modes (pressure)
 - * g-modes (gravity)
 - * w-modes (spacetime modes), etc







What can we learn from QNMs? Example: Universal relations and the inverse pro



We can use EOS-independent information to learn about the EOS

UFABC

[Andersson & Kokkotas, 1998; Benhar, See also: Ferrari & Gualtieri, 2004; Lau, Leung & Lin, 2010; Maselli et al. 2013; Sotani & Kumar, 2021, ...]



Effective compactness: $\eta \equiv \sqrt{2}$

[Chirenti, de Sousa & Kastaun, 2015]

Pre-merger: Oscillations in the inspiral



Tidal excitation of f-modes in eccentric binaries could be detectable with 3G!

[Chirenti, Gold & Miller, 2017]



[Gold et al., 2012]

UFABC



[Simulation by Shawn Rosofsky]

f-modes could also be detectable in the very late stages of circular binaries

[Schmidt & Hinderer, 2019]

Post-merger: HMNS oscillations









[[]Takami, Rezzolla & Baiotti, 2014]

Frequencies carry information on the hot EOS. Oscillations may modulate the SGRB signal. [Chirenti et al., 2019]

) UFABC

Universal relations for HMNSs? [Bauswein et al., 2012; Lioutas,

Bauswein & Stergioulas, 2021]

Galactic observations: Magnetar giant flares





Gravitational waves from galactic magnetar giant flares may be detectable. [Zink, Lasky & Kokkotas, 2012]

* 18, 26, 29, 92.5, 150, 625.5 and 1837 Hz

JFABC

[Israel et al, 2005; Watts & Strohmayer, 2006; Strohmayer & Watts, 2006, Miller et al, 2019]

Rare events - or not?

[Burns et al, 2021]

Torsional crustal modes?

Galactic observations: Core Collapse Supernovae







Signal is templatable and can be described by the quasinormal modes of the proto neutron star!

Universal relations for PNSs?

[Torres-Forné et al, 2019]

LISA

LISA will be able to see supermassive black hole binaries, and early stages (larger separations) of stellar mass black hole binaries





Sounds great! And what about neutron stars? Not obvious.

[Amaro-Seoane et al., 2017]

UFABC

LISA and Neutron Stars

~ 35 binary neutron stars should accumulate SNR > 8 during the 4 year LISA mission

At LISA frequencies, many of the binaries will be eccentric (expected median eccentricity 0.11)



[Lau et al., 2020]

Determination of orbital properties could provide information on progenitors, formation channels and natal kicks



Conclusions and Outlook

- * Future gravitational wave observations can provide important information on the EOS of cold catalyzed matter.
- * In particular, neutron star oscillations can be particularly helpful. But they aren't easy to observe! There are already indications of observations in X-ray data, and maybe also in radio.
- * Gravitational wave observation of neutron star oscillations will require 3G detectors. Oscillations from hypermassive neutron stars can provide information on the hot EOS.
- * LISA observations can help probing formation channels of binary neutron star systems.



References, further reading and videos

* Jocelyn Bell: The Discovery of Pulsars: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-335gUOvdhA</u>

* What is a pulsar? <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gjLk_72V9Bw&t=2s</u>

* Neutron stars for undergraduates, R. Silbar & S. Reddy https://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0309041

* Gravity from the Ground Up, B. Schutz http://www.gravityfromthegroundup.org

- * Black Holes, White Dwarfs and Neutron Stars, S. L. Shapiro & S. A. Teukolsky
- * Gravity: an Introduction to Einstein's General Relativity, J. B. Hartle

* Gravitational Waves, vols. 1 & 2, M. Maggiore



Questions?













