## The Doppler Crisis of TeV Blazars Fermi Summer School

Amar Hekalo

June 5, 2018





< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の Q · 1/32

## Unification Scheme



Adapted from: NASA/CXC/M.Weiss

- AGN classifications based on spectral lines, luminosity, morphology, etc.
- Unification: Only two parameters defining AGN types
  - $\Rightarrow$  (radio-)luminosity
  - $\Rightarrow \text{ inclination angle}$
- relativistic jet in radio-loud AGN
- Low inclination angle leads to Doppler boosting

#### Radio Galaxies

Morphological classification by Fanaroff and Riley FR 1: log(L) < 24.5



3C449 from Perley et al., 1979

FR 2:  $\log(L) > 26$ 



3C47 from Bridle et al., 1994

#### Blazars: Spectral Energy Distribution



Adapted from: Sahu et al., 2012

#### The Blazar Sequence



Credit: Ghisellini et al., 2017

• High-frequency-peaked BL Lac  $u_{
m sp} > 10^{15}\,{
m Hz}$ 

#### Apparent Superluminal Motion



$$eta_{ ext{app}} = rac{eta_{ ext{int}} \sin(\phi)}{1 - eta_{ ext{int}} \cos(\phi)}$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- Ground based telescopes like H.E.S.S., MAGIC and VERITAS
- Over 200 sources listed at tevcat.uchicago.edu
- Majority of extragalactic objects are HBL
- Rapid and strong flares in several sources, e.g. PKS 2155–304, Mrk 501, Mrk 421
- Flux variability down to minutes ( $\sim 600 \, \mathrm{s}$ )
- Very small emitting regions  $\Rightarrow$  Heavily boosted with  $\Gamma\sim 50$  and  $\delta\sim 100$

## Kinematic studies of HBLs

- Majority of kinematic studies by Piner and Edwards
- VLBA observations at  $\sim 10 \mathrm{s\,GHz}$
- Slow apparent motions in all sources
- e.g. 2155-304:  $v_{\rm app} = (0.93 \pm 0.31)c$
- modest brightness temperatures



Reproduced from Piner and Edwards, 2016

• slow apparent speeds (radio) vs. high Lorentz factors ( $\gamma$ )  $\Rightarrow$  Doppler Crisis

#### Two-Element Interferometer

Two antennas with geometrical delay  $au_g$  and baseline  $b_\lambda$ 



Interferometer measures the complex visibility:

$$V_{ij} = \int A(\sigma) B_{
u}(\sigma) \exp(i 2\pi b_{ij,\lambda} imes \sigma) \mathrm{d}\Omega$$

## The (u, v)-plane

$$V_{ij} = \int A(l,m)B_{\nu}(l,m)$$
$$\exp \left[i2\pi(ul+vm)\right] \frac{\mathrm{d}l\mathrm{d}m}{\sqrt{1-l^2-m^2}}$$

Small angle approximation:

$$V_{ij} \approx \int A(x, y) B(x, y)$$
$$\exp \left[i2\pi(ux + vy)\right] dxdy$$
$$\Rightarrow B(x, y) \stackrel{\text{FT}}{\rightleftharpoons} V(u, v)$$



< □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ り Q <sup>Q</sup> 10/32

#### Aperture Synthesis with Arrays

- Earth rotation synthesis:  $\delta$ -dependence
- Closure relations:
  - $\phi_{ijk} = \overline{\phi}_{ij} + \overline{\phi}_{jk} + \overline{\phi}_{ki}$ •  $A_{ijkl} = \frac{|\overline{V}_{ij}||\overline{V}_{kl}|}{|\overline{V}_{ik}||\overline{V}_{jl}|}$
- $V_{\text{measured}} = w(u, v) W(u, v) V(u, v)$



Figure: Credit: Burke and Graham-Smith, 2010

## TANAMI



Credit: Matthias Kadler

- Tracking Active Galactic Nuclei with Austral Milliarcsecond
   Interferometry
- multiwavelength program with VLBI at its core
- Sample of Southern sources  $\delta < -30\,^\circ$

## The Sample



ъ

## PKS 1440-389: Kinematic analysis





- HBL at 0.14 < z < 2.2</li>
- Compact jet to southwest
- one component with  $eta_{
  m app} = 0.99 \pm 0.73$
- stable flux density



< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ ○ Q ○ 14/32

## PKS 0447-439: Kinematic analysis





- HBL (initially Seyfert I), redshift ambiguous
- superluminal component with  $\beta_{app} = 5.4 \pm 2.5$
- stable flux density



#### PKS 2005-489: Kinematic Analysis





- radio flux density of  $\sim 0.56 0.88 \, \mathrm{Jy}$
- jet difficult to model
- inner component stationary



## PKS 2155-304: Kinematic Analysis





- huge flare in 2006
- variable flux density
- possible jet bending
- all comps. superluminal, fastest  $\beta_{app} = 7.8 \pm 2.5$



#### PKS 2155-304: Jet Bending

Small-scale bending ( $\sim75\,^\circ)$  in Piner et al., 2010 and large scale bending proposed in Seeg, 2017:



Small scale jet-bending at  $8.4\,{\rm GHz}$  from C3 to C1 with bending of  $\sim$  47  $^\circ$ 



Credit: Piner et al., 2010



## PKS 0625-354: Kinematic Analysis



<ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト 一 巨 - の Q (\* - 10)

## Implications for the Doppler Crisis

- Previous kinematic studies on HBLs done by only one group
- Goal: independent study of Southern TeV Blazars
- Result: Mostly slow and stationary, but also superluminal components
- Distribution requires more statistical analysis
- If Doppler Crisis true: How to explain the discrepancy?



# Adapted from Piner and Edwards, 2016

#### Problems and Models

- PKS 2155–304:  $\Gamma \sim$  50 or  $\beta_{\rm int} \sim$  0.9998
- Plotting  $\beta_{app} = \frac{\beta_{int} \sin(\phi)}{1 \beta_{int} \cos(\phi)}$ for two speeds of PKS 2155-304
- $\phi \ll 1^{\circ} \Rightarrow$  Huge linear sizes  $\sim 220 \,\text{Mpc}$  for  $\phi \sim 0.01^{\circ}$  $\Rightarrow \phi = 10.9^{\circ}$  (Seeg, 2017)
- Tiny jet opening angles ζ
   ⇒ Seeg, 2017: ζ = 3.4°
- Single-zone model insufficient
  - Deceleration along jet axis (Georganopoulos and Kazanas, 2003)
  - Spine-Sheath model (Ghisellini et al., 2005)



## Summary

- Doppler Crisis: Discrepancy of derived intrinsic Lorentz factors in the γ-rays and the small apparent speeds from kinematic anylsis in the radio-frequencies
- Aim is to perform an independent kinematic analysis for TeV blazars from the TANAMI sample
- Extended results to Piner & Edwards gained:
  - Many components stationary or subluminal
  - But: peak velocities at  $\sim 8c$  and  $\sim 6c$
- Further research on Doppler Crisis required
- Inclination of PKS 0625–354 restricted to be between BL Lac and FR 1
- More jet-bending in PKS 2155-304 proposed

# **Backup Slides**

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ 三 → ◆ 三 → の へ ↔ 23/32

## Doppler Beaming

Cone-shaped emission of relativistic particles with opening  $\sim \Gamma^{-1}$  $D = \nu_{\rm obs} / \nu_{\rm emit}$  and  $S(\nu_{\rm obs}) = D^{3-\alpha} S(\nu_{\rm emit})$ Doppler boosted Ρ ∝ν<sup>5/2</sup> ∝να τ > 1  $\tau < 1$ ν

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ ○ Q (~ 24/32)

#### Resolution

Smallest resolvable angle is given by Rayleigh criterion

$$heta \sim rac{\lambda}{D}, \quad [ heta] = 1 ext{ rad}$$

Consider  $\lambda = 3.5 \,\mathrm{cm}$  (radio frequencies) and  $D = 100 \,\mathrm{m}$  (Effelsberg)

 $\Rightarrow \theta \approx 1.2 \operatorname{arcmin}$ 

But we need  $\theta \lesssim 1 \,\mathrm{mas}$  for kinematics!  $\Rightarrow$  Very Long Baseline Interferometry

#### Two-Element Interferometer

Two antennas with geometrical delay  $au_g$  and baseline  $b_\lambda$ 



Interferometer measures the complex visibility:

$$V_{ij} = \int A(\sigma) B_{
u}(\sigma) \exp(i 2\pi b_{ij,\lambda} imes \sigma) \mathrm{d}\Omega$$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ ○ Q <sup>Q</sup> 26/32

## The (u, v)-plane

$$V_{ij} = \int A(l,m)B_{\nu}(l,m)$$
$$\exp \left[i2\pi(ul+vm)\right] \frac{\mathrm{d}l\mathrm{d}m}{\sqrt{1-l^2-m^2}}$$

Small angle approximation:

$$V_{ij} \approx \int A(x, y) B(x, y)$$
$$\exp \left[i2\pi(ux + vy)\right] dxdy$$
$$\Rightarrow B(x, y) \stackrel{\text{FT}}{\rightleftharpoons} V(u, v)$$



< □ ▶ < @ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ E の Q @ 27/32

#### Imaging with CLEAN and SELFCAL

Task: Deconvolution of complex visibility with dirty beam and inverse Fourer transform Method: Hybrid Imaging CLEAN algorithm from Högbom, 1974

- Place windows over brightest peaks in dirty image
- Subtraction from dirty image with gain  $\gamma \leq 1$
- repeat until coherent model is found

Reduction of Errors with SELFCAL

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{k} \sum_{ij} w_{ij}(t_k) \left| V_{ij}^{\mathrm{cal}} - g_i(t_k) g_j^*(t_k) V_{ij}^{\mathrm{mod}}(t_k) \right|^2$$

Usage of several phase and amplitude selfcals during imaging

#### Inclination of PKS 0625-354

Inclination angle given by flux ratio:

$$\phi = \arccos \left[ rac{1}{eta} rac{\left(rac{S_{
m jet}}{S_{
m counter}}
ight)^{rac{1}{3-lpha}} - 1}{\left(rac{S_{
m jet}}{S_{
m counter}}
ight)^{rac{1}{3-lpha}} + 1} 
ight]$$

Venturi et al., 2000 computes  $\phi < 61\,^\circ$  and  $\phi < 43\,^\circ$  with another method

Use flux of inner two components and approximate counter-jet with  $5\sigma$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  average over all epochs

$$\phi < 59^{\circ}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  intermediate object, neither FR 1 nor BL Lac

#### **Brightness Temperatures**



2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 Date [yrs]

- Core

÷- c1

C 10<sup>10</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 Dete (yrs)

PKS 1440-389

PKS 0447-439







PKS 2005-489



PKS 0625-354

#### Fitting with Linear Regression

Radial method and comparison with Trüstedt, 2013:



Separate x- and y- fits with subsequent norm computation:



#### **Apparent Velocities**

Two Methods: Radial velocity or vectorial method, i.e. separate *x*- and *y*-velocites

Source Name	Label	$\beta_{\rm app,rad}$	$\beta_{\rm app,vec}$
PKS 1440-389	C1	$-0.03\pm0.87$	$0.99\pm0.73$
PKS 0447-439	C1	$4.9\pm2.2$	$5.4\pm2.5$
PKS 2005-489	C1	$\textbf{0.26} \pm \textbf{0.74}$	$0.31\pm0.41$
	C3	$3.2\pm 2.6$	$4.3\pm2.2$
PKS 2155-304	C1	$5.7\pm 6.0$	$7.4\pm 6.0$
	C2	$6.6\pm 3.1$	$7.8\pm 2.5$
	C3	$-1.8\pm1.4$	$1.7\pm1.4$
PKS 0625-354	C1	$-0.18\pm0.49$	$\textbf{0.18}\pm\textbf{0.41}$
	C2	$0.11\pm1.1$	$\textbf{0.24}\pm\textbf{0.96}$
	C3	$0.4\pm1.1$	$1.07\pm0.89$

< □ ▶ < @ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ Ξ · ⑦ Q @ 32/32