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## Simple Column Based Formats

$\because$ Instead of writing data as serialized class structures, write the data as arrays of primitives.
\% Each event contains named:
\% primitives - run number, event number, ...
\% lists - particle_energy, particle_type, ecal_cluster_energy, ...
$\%$ lists of lists - particle_indexes_to_tracks, track_covmatrix, ...
$\%$ Minimally needed:
\% int, double, vector<int>, vector<double>, vector<vector<int>>, vector<vector<double>>
$\because$ Examples of simple column based data formats:
$\because$ PAW's n-tuples, Sho's "tuple"
\% Python: Pandas Data Frames.
$\therefore$ ROOT: RDataFrame

* Works with any format TTree, but is A LOT easier with a simple column based format.
\% CLAS12: HIPO


## Pro/Con of simple formats

\% PRO:
$\because$ It becomes very easy to add or drop some of the data. Just add or drop the column.
: Existing code does not break, unless you drop a column it needed.
\% Most implementations of column based data sets are very fast.
$\because$ Only read the actual data you need, not the whole class.
$\therefore$ Very easy to access the information.
$\% \mathrm{CON}$ :
$\because$ Data is less organized, depending entirely on intelligent naming of the columns.
$\because$ References are index based, so care must be taken that the referenced data does not change order.

## ROOT - RDataFrames

\% Transaction based data analysis.
$\because$ Advertised as: "modern, high-level, type-safe,
 parallel"
$\because$ Scales well to multi-core processing.
$\because$ Works with C++ and / or Python.
$\therefore$ Admittedly, the Python will likely be a mixed Python and C++.
\% Works well with simple data formats.
$\%$ Can work with complicated class structured TTree, but is more difficult.
$\%$ Does not seem to work at all with TRef or TRefArray. (?)
$\because$ This seems to be where the ROOT analysis platform is going.
\% see: https:// root.cern/doc/master/classROOT 1 1RDataFrame.html

