HPS Software

Presentation for DOE Review at SLAC

January 18, 2019

Overview

Introduction

- History
- System Overview
- Software Organization Overview
- Software Group
- Outstanding Task List
- Historic and projected manpower
- System resource utilization
- Conclusions

Introduction - history

- Early decision by collaboration to leverage the existing expertise in the SLAC group with the Linear Collider Simulation, LCSim software framework.
 - JLab (CLAS12) software was too immature, and would not suffice for expected 6-GeV era run.
 - Not enough time and manpower to start from scratch.

Result:

- Development of "hps-java" code, which utilizes the "lcsim" framework.
 - ✤ +/- Main code development is in Java.
 - + Robust framework to develop on.
 - + Existing tracking component: seed tracker.
 - No overlap with JLab code.
- Main data storage model: LCIO.
 - + Read / write capabilities from Java and C++.
 - Less flexibility in contents.

Introduction - System Overview: MC



A' events, Background events

SLIC or hps-sim : Main GEANT4 based simulation.

Readout: Simulates electronics and trigger.

hps-java: Analysis framework: SVT, ECAL, Tracking, Hodoscope

dst-maker or hpstr - reads slcio files and produces data summary files.

Part of org.lcsim that computes geometries.

HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019

Introduction - System Overview: Data



HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019

Introduction - System Overview

Calibrations:

- SVT online calibration code timing in, pedestals, gains.
 - Existing code that runs during commissioning to time in and check SVT.
- ECal calibration Cosmic ray calibration, Full Energy Electron calibration.
 - Existing code to calibrate ECal, pedestals and gains.
- Hodoscope calibration
 - Code needs to be written, but can borrow from ECal code.
- Detector Alignment Millipede II
 - Complicated procedure for getting a good alignment.
 - High on Tracking Group priority list to simplify and improve this procedure.

Physics Analysis Code:

- Runs after data reconstruction.
- Was in the domain of individual analyzers, but is now becoming more centralized.
- See presentation by Nathan Baltzell.

Introduction - Software Organization

- Code repository GitHub
 - Tracks code, allows development on branches
 - Merging only through "pull requests", which must be approved.
- Issue Tracking GitHub
 - Couples code issues with branches.
- Code Documentation Confluence Wiki + Java Doc
- Build System Maven
- Testing Maven integration tests.
- Continuous integration testing Jenkins / Hudson
- Code profilesJProfiler
- Releases:

- Github + Maven + Nexus.
- Release is tagged on GitHub.
- Resulting JAR file is available for download from Nexus.

Introduction - Software Group

- Bi- Weekly meetings with online presentations.
- Software group mailing list
- SLACK for more immediate communication.
- Lead: Maurik Holtrop
 - Tracking lead: Norman Graf
 - MC Generators: Takashi Maruyama
 - MC data production: Bradley Yale
 - Trigger: Valeri Kubarovsky
 - Trigger code: Kyle McCarty
 - Data Processing: Rafayel Paremuzyan
 - Analysis software: Matt Graham, Nathan Baltzell
 - Specific codes:
 - DST code: Omar Moreno
 - MC Simulation code, conditions system: Jeremy McCormick

Monte Carlo Generators

Monte Carlo Detector Model

Tracking

HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019



Monitoring

Resource use, CPU

CPU requirements for main data processing step.

- 2017 May 165 ms/event/core
- 2018 Nov 53 ms/event/core
- Profile: http://nuclear.unh.edu/HPS/Profiles/Call_Tree_doProcess_2018_12_11.xml

Tree: Call Tree

calls: 4827, local time:NaN, total time: 1,663,533.008 ms , 50.30 % -- org.hps.recon.tracking.TrackerReconDriver.process calls: 4827, local time:NaN, total time: 1,663,406.703 ms , 50.30 % -- org.lcsim.util.Driver.process calls: 4827, local time:NaN, total time: 1,663,402.222 ms , 50.30 % -- org.lcsim.util.Driver.processChildren calls: 4827, local time:NaN, total time: 1,663,391.957 ms , 50.30 % -- org.lcsim.util.Driver.doProcess calls: 4827, local time: NaN, total time: 1,663,382.259 ms , 50.30 % -- org.hps.recon.tracking.SeedTracker.process calls: 9653, local time:NaN, total time: 2.511 ms, .00 % -- java.lang.System.nanoTime calls: 9653, local time:NaN, total time: 1.784 ms , .00 % -- java.util.Iterator.hasNext calls: 4827, local time:NaN, total time: .789 ms , .00 % -- java.util.List.iterator calls: 4827, local time:NaN, total time: .708 ms , .00 % -- java.util.Iterator.next ۵ calls: 21254, local time: NaN, total time: 38.275 ms , .00 % -- hep.physics.vec.VecOp.sub ۵ calls: 9652, local time: NaN, total time: 10.848 ms, .00 % -- org.lcsim.event.base.BaseLCSimEvent.get ۵ calls: 4826, local time: NaN, total time: 10.659 ms , .00 % -- org.hps.recon.tracking.TrackerReconDriver.setTrackType ا ش calls: 21254, local time: NaN, total time: 6.257 ms , .00 % -- hep.physics.vec.BasicHep3Vector.magnitude calls: 33688, local time: NaN, total time: 3.698 ms , .00 % -- java.util.Iterator.hasNext 0 0 calls: 25058, local time:NaN, total time: 2.749 ms , .00 % -- java.util.Iterator.next 0 calls: 21254, local time: NaN, total time: 2.389 ms , .00 % -- hep.physics.vec.BasicHep3Vector.<init> 0 calls: 21254, local time: NaN, total time: 1.971 ms , .00 % -- org.lcsim.fit.helicaltrack.HelicalTrackHit.getCorrectedPosition 0 calls: 21254, local time: NaN, total time: 1.921 ms , .00 % -- org.lcsim.fit.helicaltrack.HelicalTrackHit.getPosition 0 calls: 21254, local time: NaN, total time: 1.891 ms , .00 % -- org.lcsim.fit.helicaltrack.HelicalTrackHit.chisq 6 calls: 8630, local time: NaN, total time: 1.333 ms , .00 % -- java.util.List.iterator 6 calls: 4826, local time: NaN, total time: .887 ms , .00 % -- java.util.List.size 0 calls: 3804, local time: NaN, total time: .432 ms , .00 % -- org.lcsim.event.base.BaseTrack.getTrackerHits calls: 1207, local time:NaN, total time: 692,632.061 ms , 21.00 % -- org.hps.recon.ecal.EcalRawConverter2Driver.process calls: 1207, local time: NaN, total time: 483,325.585 ms , 14.60 % -- org.hps.recon.tracking.RawTrackerHitFitterDriver.process calls: 1206, local time: NaN, total time: 259,630.054 ms , 7.90 % -- org.hps.recon.tracking.gbl.GBLRefitterDriver.process calls: 1207, local time: NaN, total time: 87,474.758 ms , 2.60 % -- org.hps.recon.tracking.DataTrackerHitDriver.process I calls: 1207, local time:NaN, total time: 36,481.321 ms , 1.10 % -- org.hps.recon.tracking.HelicalTrackHitDriver.process

Resource use, CPU

CPU requirement for MC production.

Resource use, disk

Estimated disk space usage.

Software Task List

Mostly, our software is in reasonably good shape, but many improvement are desirable: directly related to 2019 running, smoothing operations, speeding up processing.

Critical Tasks for 2019 run:

- Complete Hodoscope simulation and new trigger optimization analysis.
 - Extensive task which is already well underway. See Rafayel Paremuzyan's talk.
- Add FADC bit-packed data decoder to hps-java.
 - Already exists for CLAS12, so not expected to be too complicated.
- Update monitoring histograms.
 - Needs hodoscope and L0 histograms added.
 - Cleaning up existing histograms.
- Improve/update data quality monitoring.
 - Update for hodoscope and L0.

Software Task List

* Important Tasks, highly desirable:

- Improve the alignment procedures.
 - * We need to get detector alignment to be easier so results can be obtained more quickly.

Software Task List

Other Important Tasks:

- Improve the alignment procedures.
 - * We need to get detector alignment to be easier so results can be obtained more quickly.
- Revisit all other calibrations and see where updates are needed.
 - It has been a little while since we last needed a full calibration.
- Improve processing speed of the code.
 - Further improve the speed of the tracking code.
 - * Complete the investigation of alternate tracking: Kalman filter and different seed finder.
 - Possibly: preprocess the FADC and SVT pulse fits.
- Learn to use the Open Science Grid for simulation.

Lots of minor issues, maintenance issue, code improvements on issues lists.

Software contributions



HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019

Software contributions



HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019

Conclusions

HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
HPS Software - DOE Review @ SLAC - Jan 18, 2019				
	HPS Software - DC	DE Review @ SLAC	2 - Jan 18, 2019	