2016 alignment update (study of wrong z₀)

> Alessandra Filippi INFN Torino Dec 18, 2017

Study of internal alignment on run 7479

- FEE selected tracks: 6 hits per track + one Ecal hit
- Together with Mariangela: repeat the steps followed for 2015 alignment (starting from the best 2015 detector, global alignment offsets excluded)
 - Add u translation for most internal sensors
 - Add u translations for 2+5
 - Add w translations for 3+4, 2+5
 - Add one w, u, v rotation at a time and check improvements/sensitivity
- Ongoing work, still some minor adjustments needed
 - As usual, sensor 4 is critical
- Focus on internal alignment + "coarse" global alignment
 - 2015 global alignment not acceptable (different momentum, ...)

Typical best alignment results

Small but sensible improvements, several iterations needed some small tunings still to be applied to improve sensor 4



2016 global alignment (step0): beamspot coordinates



 These two (off)-peaks have visible consequences on the z₀ impact parameter

- Still to be understood: difference in recon efficiency top vs bottom
- x and y coordinates @z=0
- About ok for x_T
- Two strange off-peaks for y_T
 - Not exactly symmetric wrt 0
 - Top: negative y
 - Bottom: positive y



2016 global alignment (step0): impact parameters



- Mariangela spotted that these events are somehow clustered in a group of "close events"
- Where are these tracks coming from?

- Regular shape of d0 impact parameters (~along x axis)
- Two off-peaks clusterings in z₀
 - Top: negative z₀
 - Bottom: positive z₀



Study of events with large z₀

- Requirement: |z0| > 0.7 mm
- Wild residuals!









Study of events with large z₀ (preliminary)

- Requirement: $|z_0| > 0.7 \text{ mm}$
- Wild residuals!
- Bad track χ^2
 - Good news: they can be eliminated by a proper cut
- Also effects on target localization (z coordinate much more upstream than expected)







What about momentum?

Nothing special... everything seems to be ok





h p qbl

• In log scale: main origin of events with wrong (too low) momentum

Work in progress...

- Next: study of sensor occupancies for these tracks
- Some hints that there could be noise on sensors 1-3 but need further tests (the analysis program needs changes, will discuss this with Mariangela in the next few days)
- About 10% of tracks are affected
- Never seen before
 - just an issue with this run?
 - Something related to latest changes in the reconstruction?
 - Something related with the requirement of a cluster in Ecal (never asked before?)
- Tracks can be removed by a cut on χ^2 (or z_0) but we need to know if it is an accident or what else...