Global alignment – u and v translations

Alessandra Filippi Feb 13, 2017

Global alignment

- Same offset added (as MP correction in the compact.xml file) for translations along u and along v
 - Translations along u: mean value of z₀ impact parameter distribution, for t&b
 - Translations along v: mean value of d₀ impact parameter distribution, for t&b

impact parameters – start





No beamspot





Global translations along u



Global translations along u+v - SOLVED



Global translations along w

Use of tracks selected in the elastic peak

- Study of the profile distributions of y_T vs tan λ
- Linear fit of mean values of gaussian fit in y slices (NOT root TProfile)
- Best alignment + (u,v) global translations

Top tracks p0 = -0.185

 $y_T(z=0) = \underbrace{y_{beamspot}}_{p0} - \underbrace{z_{tgt}}_{-p1} \cdot \tan \lambda$

bottom tracks p0 = 0.207 p1 = 6.49



Global translations along w & momentum calibration

- Not sure they help to solve the systematic underestimation of the elastic peak
- Looks like...
 - The sensor z needs an overall a stretch?
 - Further adjustment along v needed?
 - MP has no power along this coordinate
 - Is there a shear which causes a macroscopic effect especially along v?
 - Does the magnetic field mean value need a correction?
- Need to check this version of alignment (+ global offsets) on the 2016 data (tracks are less bent)