

### Cosmic Ray Electron Spectrum with the Fermi-LAT

### **Raffaella Bonino**

University and INFN Torino <u>rbonino@to.infn.it</u>

on behalf of the Fermi-LAT Collaboration





#### Gamma-ray Space Telescope





- Detector is designed for E. M. showers:
  - naturally including electrons
  - event reconstruction works also for electrons
- Electron identification requires dedicated event selection





### **Analysis features**



- Objective: discriminate signal (e<sup>+</sup>/e<sup>-</sup>) from background (mainly p) and compute the CRE energy spectrum
- **Data set:** ~ 7 years
- Energy range: 50 GeV 2.5 TeV
- Event reconstruction: Pass 8
- Event selection:
  - PRECUTS
  - Classification Tree: TMVA with the Boosted Decision Tree method
    - trained on MC data samples (signal=MC electron, bkg=MC proton)
    - in 0.25 wide log energy bins  $\rightarrow$  training optimized for the whole energy range

#### 🖗 CRE spectrum





The LAT uses shower topology information to separate the electron signal from the hadronic background





- Variables chosen according to: good MC-data agreement and high separation efficiency
- Separation efficiency improved after correcting some observables for geometry dependence



 MC-data agreement optimized after correcting for energy and incidence angle dependences







#### Fit the data with the MC electron and proton template

- signal rate = (rate from flight data)  $\times$  (fraction of electrons from MC)
- use that rate for the spectrum directly!
- **MC proton template** (i.e. parameterization of AMS-01) is renormalized and fit to the data in each energy bin 9



Bkg contamination estimated after applying "correction" from template fitting 10







- The uncertainty on the absolute energy scale is the **largest source of systematics**
- Pass 8 in-flight measurement of the absolute energy scale via geomagnetic cutoff study
  → 3.7% offset around 10 GeV
- We have rescaled the whole spectrum by 3.7% and we have estimated the error on this scaling factor to be 2% at 10 GeV and increasing up to 5% at ~ 1 TeV 12

### **Other systematics**



# Faith Faith

#### A) Event selection:

 main uncertainty at this level is due to the estimation of the effective area → we take it into account by varying the signal efficiency between 30% and 90%

#### **B)** Correction factor:

the band is calculated by moving the correction magnitude by one sigma

#### C) Bkg simulation reliability:

the uncertainties related to the MC simulation of hadronic interactions could produce a 30% uncertainty on the residual contamination



Energy [GeV] 14

# **CRE inclusive spectrum**





- Central points from the "optimal cut", the shaded band has been obtained by summing in quadrature all the studied systematics (except for the energy scale)
- Sompatible with AMS up to  $\sim$  100 GeV but different spectral index
- Disagreement wrt published spectrum (**Fermi 2010**):

Gamma-ray Space Telescope

likely due to "ghost" signal not taken into account in the acceptance in our first analysis

## Instrument response



ermi

Gamma-ray



Acceptance resulting after applying the "optimal cut"

╋

 acceptances resulting by varying the signal efficiency between 30% and 90% also shown

Contamination below 20% when applying the "optimal cut"

+

- contamination resulting by varying the signal efficiency between 30% and 90%
- contamination resulting by taking into account the bkg simulation reliability



### Conclusions



#### We performed a new measurement of CRE spectrum with Pass 8

#### Improvements in the new analysis:

- almost 7 times the PRD data set
- new event reconstruction & selection (Pass 8)
- new multi-variate analysis tool
- new selection of variables → variables are now "calibrated"
- new CTs trained in energy bins  $\rightarrow$  training optimized for the whole energy range
- new CRE spectrum and associated systematics

#### See also the posters:

- More technical details on the event selection and systematics (M.Negro et al Diff.6)
- Analysis extended down to 7 GeV by including the DGN filter effect (A. Manfreda et al -In/An. 10) → in the overlapping energy region it's in agreement with this one
- Cross-check the energy scale at TeV energies by measuring the Earth Limb spectrum (F. Spada et al - In/An.7)
- Study CRE anisotropies to validate possible astrophys. interpretations (N. Mazziotta et al Diff.5)
- Viable interpretations of our CRE spectrum (F. Donato et al Diff.3)





# **BACKUP SLIDES**

Sermi Systematics: corr. factor

3.00 < logE [GeV] < 3.25

Gamma-ray Space Telescope









#### **PRECUTS** = TRIGGER FILTER + QUALITY CUT + ALPHA CUT

- TRIGGER FILTER: the event triggers the LAT and passes the on-board gamma filter '(GltGemSummary&0x20)==0 && (GltGemSummary&0x40)==0 && FswGamState == 0'
- QUALITY CUT: the event has at least a reconstructed track, a minimal PSF quality and the path length in the Cal is larger than the Cal on-axis thickness 'EvtCalCsIRLn>8 && Cal I RawEnergySum>5000 && TkrNumTracks>0 && WP8CTPSFTail>0.05'
- **ALPHA CUT:** MC doesn't reproduce accurately interactions of  $\alpha$  and heavy ions in the LAT  $\rightarrow$  cut removing the majority of  $\alpha$  and heavies

