# HAWC – GRB sensitivity example



Ignacio Taboada Georgia Institute of Technology



#### The HAWC Collaboration

Mexico



Colorado State University Georgia Institute of Technology Los Alamos National Laboratory Michigan State University Michigan Technological University NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center Pennsylvania State University Univ. of California, Irvine University of California, Santa Cruz University of Maryland University of New Hampshire University of Rochester University of Utah University of Wisconsin University of New Mexico Centro de Investigación en Computación – IPN Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica (INAOE) Instituto Politécnico Nacional Universidad Autónoma de Chiapas Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo Universidad de Guadalajara Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM) Instituto de Física Instituto de Astronomía Instituto de Geofísica Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares Universidad Politécnica de Pachuca

#### Outline

Can my favorite source be seen? -> HAWC Latitude: 20° N.

A very, very, simplified example – not a tutorial!

A benchmark GRB Effective area Signal from benchmark GRB Background rate Optimization of search circle. Sensitivity to a GRB

#### A benchmark GRB

Set the zenith at 20° Assume a time integrated high-energy spectrum  $A \times (E/\text{GeV})^{-2}$ 

Assume a duration of 2 s – short GRBs are best for HAWC.

A bright GRB in LAT has a fluence of  $3x10^{-5}$  erg/cm<sup>2</sup> between 100 MeV and 10 GeV. Assume extension to higher energy.

This corresponds to a time integrated spectrum: 4.05x10<sup>-3</sup> GeV<sup>-1</sup>.cm<sup>-2</sup> (E/GeV)<sup>-2</sup> Use an exponential cutoff of 125 GeV

GRB 090510 inspires this benchmark.

#### Effective area for γ-rays



For this example, parameterize effective area (30 GeV – 30 TeV) at trigger level – see root example.

#### **Signal from benchmark GRB**



Total signal: 52 events. Significant number of events above cutoff because of rising effective area

### Background



#### All-sky background rate is 13 kHz.

## Parameterize background as a function of $\cos\theta$ . See root example.

#### **Point spread function**

Assume a Gaussian PSF of 1 degree of angular resolution

$$s(\psi) = N e^{-\psi/2\sigma^2}$$

Here  $\psi$  is the angle from the GRB to the reconstructed event.

So the signal within  $\boldsymbol{\psi}$  is

$$S = (N\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}{2}erf(\frac{\psi}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}))^2$$

#### Background – and optimization figure of merit

From the all-sky background fit, the background in a search circle is – valid for a small circle only, in radians,  $\theta$ =20°.

B =  $1.15 \times 10^4 * \Delta \Omega = 1.15 \times 10^4 * \pi * \psi^2$ 

(This corresponds to 11 events/second for a 1 degree circle).

Using  $S/\sqrt{B}$  as figure of merit:

$$\frac{S}{\sqrt{B}_{opt}} = max \left( \frac{(erf(x/\sqrt{2}\sigma))^2}{x} \right)$$

#### **Optimal search bin in this toy model**



So optimal search bin for a 1° Gaussian PSF is 1.4°

#### **Toy model final answer**

Signal fraction within 1.4°: ~70%: 36.5 events. Background within 1.4°: 43 events (2 second duration)

Significance of benchmark GRB: 5.6 sigma.

#### How to improve this?

Use MC PSF (not gaussian – verify somehow)

Measure background from data -> Direct integration

Optimize search circle or optimize search circle and g/H cuts simultaneously

Significance from Li & Ma (as appropriate).

#### **Benchmark GRB again**



Using HAWC Monte Carlo integration.

Cutoff due to EBL at z=1 (Gilmore '13) instead of exponential 125 GeV. Total signal: 32.5 evt.

Background in 1 degree circle: 11.6 events/s

#### **Benchmark GRB again**



Optimal search bin ~ 1.2° Max significance: ~4.2 sigma

#### **GRB sensitivity – with g/H cuts**



#### Fake lightcurve

