IRON-SPECTRUM.

The Template Method for Measuring the Cosmic Iron Spectrum with IACTs

Henrike Fleischhack

VERITAS Collaboration Fermi School 2014 June 4th, 2014



Alliance for Astroparticle Physics







Cherenkov telescopes

- Cherenkov radiation from extended air showers.
- Gamma-ray astronomy; main background: cosmic rays.
- Measure shower core; direction and energy of primary particle.
- Direct Cherenkov light (from primary particle):
 - Very concentrated.
 - Sensitive to a small fraction of the iron's flight path.
 - Intensity $\propto Z^2 \cdot \sin(\theta_c)$.
 - Identification of heavy nuclei, eg. iron, in the TeV range.
 - Cf. Kieda et al. (2001); Aharonian et al. (2007).



Source: Aharonian et al. (2007)



VERITAS



- > Very Energetic Radiation Imaging Telescope Array System
- > Array of four 12m-telescopes, south of Tucson, AZ.
- > Operational since 2007.



VERITAS



- > Very Energetic Radiation Imaging Telescope Array System
- > Array of four 12m-telescopes, south of Tucson, AZ.
- > Operational since 2007.
- > Field of view about 3.5° in 499 "pixels" (PMTs) per telescope.





The template method for shower reconstrution

Idea: Predict light intensity per pixel, depending on direction, energy, first interaction height, core position cf. Le Bohec et al. (1998); compare to measured values.

- > Can be used for iron showers as well as gamma showers.
- > Use MC simulations of shower development and light propagation in atmosphere and telescope.
- Simulations for fixed points in energy, distance to telescope, first interaction heigt; interpolate between those points.





More iron templates





Using the templates for reconstruction

- Simultaneous Fit of direction, core position, energy, and first interaction height to data in the four telescopes using likelihood method.
- Validation of the templates using iron showers (after detector simulation) Example: 31 TeV shower.



Template validation

- > Compare template predictions with simulated showers after detector simulation.
- > Use true values, no fit, no B-field.
- > Charge per pixel well predicted for Q ≤ 6000 d.c. (saturation effects for large signals).





Fit procedure validation

- > Fit of the template predictions to simulated iron showers after detector simulation.
- > True values as starting points, fix first interaction heigt.





Reconstruction of core position



Goodness of fit for proton separation

- > Fit of the template predictions to simulated iron showers after detector simulation.
- > True values as starting points, fix first interaction heigt.
- > Distance of shower core to detector between 30 and 140 m.
- > Selection not final/optimized yet!





Shower-to-shower fluctuations

- Right now: Likelihood function includes photon statistics, dc-to-pe uncertainty, and NSB-induced noise (optimised for gamma showers)
- > Shower-to-shower fluctuations more important for iron showers.
- Different for DC light vs shower light, dependance on energy, first interaction height.
- > Will include this in likelihood calculation in the future.



- > Adapted template analysis for iron-induced showers.
- > Energy, direction and core position well reconstructed.
- > Simultaneous fit of first interaction height problemactic.
- > Separation of proton showers possible using goodness of fit.
- > Starting values?
- > Working on including shower-to-shower fluctuations in likelihood.



Thank you for the attention!

- Aharonian, F. et al. (2007). First ground based measurement of atmospheric Cherenkov light from cosmic rays. *Phys.Rev.*, D75:042004.
- Kieda, D., Swordy, S., and Wakely, S. (2001). A high resolution method for measuring cosmic ray composition beyond 10 TeV. Astroparticle Physics, 15(3):287 – 303.
- Le Bohec, S. et al. (1998). A new analysis method for very high definition imaging atmospheric cherenkov telescopes as applied to the cat telescope. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 416(2 3):425 437.







Henrike Fleischhack | DESY | June 4th, 2014 | Seite 13

- > Shower simulation: CORSIKA 6.99, bernlohr package.
- > Ray tracing, detector simulation: GrISU2012April20
- > Templates:
 - Zenith angle: 0°.
 - Energy 11 steps, $E_0 = 10$ TeV, $\Delta E = 0.1$, $\log_{10}(E_i) = \log_{10}(E_0) + i \cdot \Delta E$.
 - Detector distance: 31 steps, 0 m to 300 m, $D_i = i \cdot 10$ m.
 - First interaction height: N=11 steps, $\chi_i = \lambda \cdot \ln\left(\frac{N-i-\Delta}{N}\right)$, $\lambda = 13 \frac{g}{cm^2}$, $\Delta = 0.5$ (even coverage of first interaction heights).

