Integration, commissioning and operation SVT/SVT DAQ Review

Per Hansson Adrian







This talk will address the interface to other sub-systems

- Slow control
- Beamline
- Software

What are the interfaces? Who is responsible from the SVT group? What are the major outstanding tasks/issues?

TDAQ integration discussed in Ryan's talk

All areas covered and exercised successfully in Test run but Test run did not include high intensity electron beam

- Updates to beamline
- Operational safety
- Updates/improvements needed

Slow Control Interface

Dedicated slow control group (H. Egiyan, JLAB)

- Bi-weekly meetings since summer '13
- SVT representatives: S. Uemura, P. Hansson, T. Maruyama
- Team intact from Test run

Slow control interface

- Power: control and monitoring
- Cooling: control and monitoring
- Motion control
- Interlocks

EPICS control software used across HPS detector incl. SVT

- Developed and maintained by slow control group
- SVT representatives provide input as needed

- ✓Test run (new hardware)
- Test run (new hardware)

Not exercised

✓Test run (need update)

SVT power control GUI in Test run

00						X svtS	Status PS.	adl								
														Bias Voltage Setpoints 0		
		0N/OFF						0N/OFF							NORE PARAMETERS	
		OFF ON	0,000 0,000 0,000	0,000 0.	.000			OFF ON	0,000	0,000	1,000	0,000	0,000	QHybrid 0	Collubrid 0	
		OFF ON	0,000 0,000 1,000	0,000 0.	,000			OFF ON	0,000	0.000	2,000	0,000	0,000	QHybrid 1	QHybrid 1	
		OFF ON	0,000 0,000 0,000	0.000 0.	.010			OFF ON	0,000	0.000	0,000	0,100	0,000	QHybrid 2	QHybrid 2	
		OFF CN	0,000 0,000 0,000	0.200 0.	.000			OFF ON	0,000	0.000	0,000	0,100	0,000	QHybrid 3	QHybrid 3	
		OFF CN	0,000 0,000 0,000	0,000 0.	.000			OFF ON	0,000	0.000	0,000	0,000	0,000	QHybrid 4	QHybrid 4	
		OFF ON	0,000 0,000 1,000	0,000 0.	.000			OFF ON	0,000	0,000	2,000	0,000	0,000	QHybrid 5	QHybrid 5	
		OFF ON	0,000 0,000 0,000	0,000 0.	.000			OFF ON	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	QHybrid 6	QHybrid 6	
		OFF ON	0,000 0,000 0,000	0,000 0.	,000			OFF ON	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,100	0,000	QHybrid 7	QHybrid 7	
		OFF ON	0,000 0,000 0,000	0,100 0.	.000			OFF ON	0,000	0,000	6,000	0,000	0,000	QHybrid 8	QHybrid 8	
		OFF ON	0,000 0,000 0,000	0,000 0.	.000			OFE ON	0,000	0.000	3,000	0,000	0,000	QH,brid 9	Child 3	



Slow Control: Power, Cooling and Motion Control

New MPOD power supplies compared to Test run [Hansson, Uemura, Reese]

- Well known system at JLab and in the slow control group
- ⇒ Receive end of Nov for testing and integration with DAQ tests
- ⇒ EPICS control software being implemented in slow control group
- ⇒ Bridge to SVT DAQ via CA server [Herbst]

Cooling system updates from Test run [Nelson, Hansson]

- New chiller for SVT modules (similar requirements)
- Additional cooling loop (T~20C, ~100W) for FE boards
- ⇒ Choose and acquire new chiller [slow control]
- ⇒ Chiller integration test during full system DAQ testing (spring 2014)

Motion controls not exercised during Test run [slow control, Maruyama, Uemura]

- Crucial for operational safety with electron beam
- EPICS based monitoring and control
- \Rightarrow Build control drivers, software
- ⇒ Fully test and calibrate (speed, precision, reproducibility)
- ⇒ Define installation and alignment procedure

Slow Controls: Interlocks

Test run interlock had inputs from

- Vacuum chamber temperature and pressure
- SVT cooling flow
- Software interlock (e.g. SVT temp.)
- ⇒ Add beam fast shutdown (FSD) signal from accelerator [beamline group]

New interlock system being built by slow control group

- SVT power supplies will be shut down in any event (100ms delay)
- Chiller will be shut down depending on interlock signal (cooling flow, vacuum quality)
- ⇒ SVT rep. provides input as needed [Hansson, Uemura]

Issues from Test run are being/have been addressed

- New chiller and flow switches
- More reliable and sophisticated control (PLC system)
- System shakedown time!



Beamline Interface

Dedicated beamline group (K. Moffeit, SLAC)

- Weekly meetings
- SVT representatives: Maruyama, Nelson, Uemura, Hansson
- Team at SLAC and JLab intact from Test run

Beamline interface

- Installation
- Alignment
- SVT commissioning
- Operational safety
- Radiation environment



SLA0

Installation and Alignment

Follow generally successful Test run installation and alignment [Nelson]

- SVT surveyed at SLAC
- SVT shipped to JLab
- Assembled and lifted into vacuum chamber
- Surveyed on beamline (touch & laser probe)

Additional steps for electron running [Maruyama, Uemura]

Align and commission motion controls

Survey alignment procedure brought sensors to within 0.2mm

Improvements [Nelson]

- Flatter/stiffer Si from module design (done)
- Less sag/roll from more rigid support plate (done)
- Improve geometry desc. and survey points
- Special runs w/ zero B-field and upstream target

*Track-based alignment discussed in software part



8

Commissioning and Calibration

SVT checkout and calibration [SVT group]

- Successful calibration in Test run (pedestals, noise, gain)
- DAQ debugging was crippled by schedule in test run •
- System shakedown time is now firmly in schedule (at SLAC and JLab) \Rightarrow
- Improved non-expert calibration interface [Moreno] \Rightarrow

Initial beam commissioning [beamline group, TDAQ, Nelson, Maruyama]

- Establish safe beam (low/high current) through HPS system
- Commission motor controls, interlocks, beam shutdown system ۲
- Determine trigger latency and DAQ integration
- Detailed beam commissioning plan developed in beamline group \Rightarrow

SVT momentum scale and resolution [Graham]

- Initial estimates/cross-checks from ECal track matching
- Scattered beam electrons (prescaled trigger set) ٠
- Trident full kinematic fit •
- Full simulation studies in analysis/software group (large \Rightarrow overlap of people)

DAQ	Full
components	DAQ
ready	tested
3/1	6/2







Protection Collimator

Purpose

- Keep focused beam from hitting active part of silicon detector
- Reduce flux penetrating protection collimator in silicon detector to an acceptable level.

Design depends on damage susceptibility of SVT modules

- Hard to reliably estimate susceptibility to damage
- \Rightarrow Test tolerance using a beam accident test beam

NLCTA test beam in Sep. '13

- Scan charge density/bunch and bias voltage
- Damage first observed at ~10⁵ e-/strip





SLAC

Protection Collimator

Purpose

- Keep focused beam from hitting active part of silicon detector
- Reduce flux penetrating protection collimator in silicon detector to an acceptable level.

Design depends on damage susceptibility of SVT modules

- Hard to reliably estimate susceptibility to damage
- ⇒ Test tolerance using a beam accident test beam

Collimator preliminary design exists from beamline group

⇒ Keeps charge density at ~10³ e-/strip (damage at ≈10⁵)

Remaining issues

- ⇒ Sensor edge damage susceptibility test beam [Hansson]
- ⇒ Final design, commissioning and testing procedures with initial beam [beamline group, SVT rep.]





~1500/strip in 40 µs @200 nA 38×10⁶/sec

Test run sensor damage: $\sim 10^5/strip$ in $\sim ps$

Normal run: 1% occupancy in 8 ns \rightarrow 1.25×10⁶/sec

Radiation Damage to Silicon

Beam energy electrons (~1-6GeV) induce bulk damage

- Expected fluence close to sensor capability in active region (>1x10¹⁴neq/cm²)
- Higher localized fluence in edge guard structure
- SVT designed for easy replacement of modules

Localized damage in guard structure less studied

- Understand any impact on operation or physics performance (e.g. charge collection)
- Study with irradiation test beam

ESTB SLAC Irradiation test beam

- Irradiate sensor edge with primary beam (~days)
- Study sensor behavior (calibration, laser probing)
- ⇒ Proposal made; design and manufacturing to be made [Hansson, Nelson, Field]
- \Rightarrow Hope for beam in early 2014



11

SLAC

Radiation Environment for Electronics



Software Interface

SLAC

Dedicated software group (M. Holtrop) and analysis group (M. Graham)

- Weekly meetings
- Team at SLAC and JLab intact from Test run; additional manpower from new collaborators expected
- Large overlap of SVT manpower in these groups [Nelson, Hansson, Uemura, Moreno, Maruyama]

Software interface

- SVT Simulation
- Alignment
- Conditions
- Monitoring

- ✓Test run
- Test run (additional work needed)
- ✓Test run (interfacing with JLab, based on DB)
- Test run (updates needed)

Track-based alignment [Hansson, Graham]

- Millipedell for HPS (setup, ongoing tests)
- Flexible alignment framework (interface to cond. DB)
- Use of special runs (B-field=0)

Conditions [Moreno]

- New conditions DB interface for SVT (ongoing tests)
- Log SVT DAQ conditions info to JLab "archiver" DB

Monitoring [McCormick]

- Define low-level monitoring for SVT DAQ and user interfaces
- Alignment monitoring (residuals, χ^2)
- Trigger latency timing monitoring
- Monitoring during position scans

<u>SLAC</u>