# Angular Dispersion with BT Gamma data v1r030604p6 Vs. v1r030603p9 BTR

Nicola Mazziotta Jun 27, 2007 mazziotta@ba.infn.it

## v1r030604p6 release

- Problem reading the Merit root files
  - The branch "McSourceName" needs to be disabled

# **Photon Event classification**

### Score

### • Class A: events with 1 vertex

- Class A.1: events with 2 tracks:
  - Tkr1LastLayer == 0 && Tkr2LastLayer == 0 && Tkr1FirstLayer > 1 && Tkr2FirstLayer > 1
    - Class A.1.1: CalCsIRLn > 6
      - » Class A.1.1.1: First two top TKR plane as Veto
- Class A.2: events with 1 track:
  - Tkr1LastLayer == 0 && Tkr1FirstLayer > 1
    - Class A.2.1: CalCsIRLn > 6
      - » Class A.2.1.1: First two top TKR plane as Veto

### • Class B: events with 2 Vertices

- Class B.1.1: Number of tracks associated with the first vertex (Vtx1NumTkrs)==2 && Tkr1LastLayer == 0 && Tkr2LastLayer == 0 && Tkr1FirstLayer > 1 && Tkr2FirstLayer > 1 && CalCsIRLn > 6
- Class C: events with 3 o more Vertices
  - Class C.1.1: Number of tracks associated with the first vertex (Vtx1NumTkrs)==2 && Tkr1LastLayer == 0 && Tkr2LastLayer == 0 && Tkr1FirstLayer > 1 && Tkr2FirstLayer > 1 && CalCsIRLn > 6

Score

# **Summary**

- Slides 5-8: Tower 2 FB 0 deg, v1r030604p6 (full symbols) v1r030603p9 (open symbols) comparison
  - -The angle dispersion are consistent within the error bars, but
    - the angular resolution for Class A.2.1 in thin planes does not increase with the energy (Slide 6) News!
- Slides 9-12: Tower 3 FB 0 deg, v1r030604p6 (full symbols) v1r030603p9 (open symbols) comparison
  - -The angle dispersion are consistent within the error bars, but
    - the angular resolution for Class A.2.1 in thin planes does not increase with the energy (Slide 6) News!
    - The current angular resolution looks flatter at high energy
- Slides 13-16: Tower 3 FB 0 deg, v1r030604p6 (full symbols) MC Mass simulation (open symbols) comparison
  - -The angle dispersion are consistent within the error bars

# Angle at 68% - Class A.1.1 - 0 Deg incidence

Tower 2 - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence (2.5 GeV Electron beam)



## Angle 95% to 68% ratio – Class A.1.1 at 0 Deg





## Angle at 68% - Class A.2.1 - 0 Deg incidence





### Angle at 68% - Classes A, B and C - 30 Deg incidence



Tower 2 - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence (2.5 GeV Electron beam)

# Angle at 68% - Class A.1.1 - 0 Deg incidence

Tower 3 - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence (2.5 GeV Electron beam)



## Angle 95% to 68% ratio – Class A.1.1 at 0 Deg





## Angle at 68% - Class A.2.1 - 0 Deg incidence

Tower 3 - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence (2.5 GeV Electron beam)



### Angle at 68% - Classes A, B and C - 30 Deg incidence

Tower 3 - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence (2.5 GeV Electron beam)



# Angle at 68% - Class A.1.1 - 0 Deg incidence

Tower 3 - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence (2.5 GeV Electron beam)



### Angle 95% to 68% ratio – Class A.1.1 at 0 Deg



## Angle at 68% - Class A.2.1 - 0 Deg incidence

Tower 3 - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence (2.5 GeV Electron beam)



### Angle at 68% - Classes A, B and C - 30 Deg incidence

Tower 3 - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence (2.5 GeV Electron beam)

