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## Configurations

- Normal incidence
- Tower 2: all gamma runs (both full brems. and tagged) have been used at $0^{\circ}$. The pion contamination has been rejected by requiring the $X$ Vertex position in Tower 2 (VtxX < 350.)
- Tower 3: all gamma runs (both full brems. and tagged) have been used at $0^{\circ}$
- 30: all gamma runs (both full brems. and tagged) have been used at $30^{\circ}$
- $50^{\circ}$ : all gamma runs (both full brems. and tagged) have been used at $50^{\circ}$
- MC at normal incidence on Tower 3


## Total Triggers Vs. Run




## Real Data at 50 Deg Incidence



## Event classification

## Score

- Class A: events with 1 vertex
- Class A.1: events with 2 tracks
- Class A.1.1: CalCsIRLn > 6 New
- Class A.2: events with 1 track
- Class A.2.1: CalCsIRLn > 6 New
- Class B: events with 2 Vertices
- Class C: events with 3 o more Vertices

The CU has been used as standalone detector Level 0 Cut: CalEnergyRaw > 0

## Total Radiation Length in crystals

## Tower 2 - Real Data at Normal Incidence



## Real data at 30 Deg Incidence



Tower 3 - Real Data at Normal Incidence


Real Data at 50 Deg Incidence


## Energy calibration - Class A events



## Energy bias - Class A events

## Tower 2 - Real Data at Normal Incidence



## Real data at 30 Deg Incidence



Tower 3-Real Data at Normal Incidence


## Real Data at 50 Deg Incidence



## X Vertex position

Tower 2 - Real Data at Normal Incidence
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## Y Vertex position



## Z Vertex position



## Energy distribution

## 5 bins per decade starting from 20 MeV have been defined

Tower 2-Real Data at Normal Incidence


Real data at 30 Deg Incidence
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## Real Data at 50 Deg Incidence



## Angular dispersion evaluation

- The gamma angle has been calculated with the respect the nominal beam direction
- Beam direction: (-Sin(theta), 0, -Cos(theta)) where theta is the tilted angle of the CU
- Measured direction: Vertex direction in the root files
- For each bin energy, the angular dispersion distribution is filled in a histogram with $0.1^{\circ}$ bin width


## Angular distribution, $79.6<\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{MeV})<126.2$

Tower 2 - Real Data at Normal Incidence


## PSF evaluation

- At given fraction, f, (e.g. 68\% or 95\%), the angular bin number $i$ is found such that the integral of events, $P_{i}$, is $P_{i}<f N<P_{i+1}$, where N is the total number of entries.
- The angle, $\theta_{f}$, at the fraction $f$ is evaluated as $\theta_{f}=\theta_{i}+h\left(f N-P_{i}\right) /\left(P_{i+1}-P_{i}\right)$, where $h$ is the angular bin step ( $0.1^{\circ}$ )
- The statistical error $\delta \theta_{f}$ has been evaluated by taking only the error (Poisson) for the number counts $N, P_{i}$ and $P_{i+1}$, i.e. $\delta \theta_{i}=0$ and $\delta h=0$


## Systematic errors

- Beam divergence: few mrad, $\delta \theta_{f} \sim 0.1^{\circ}$
- CU position with respect to the beam: $\delta \theta_{f} \sim 0.1^{\circ}$
- We have studied the angular distribution in the few electron runs taken just before/after the photon runs with $B$ off.
- Gamma production angle by bremsstrahlung with respect to the electron: few mrad, $\delta \theta_{f} \sim 0.1^{\circ}$
- The quoted value comes from the cross section used in Geant code

Tower 2 - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence


Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at 30 Deg Incidence
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Tower 3 - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence


## PSF at 95\% - Class A.1.1.

## Tower 2 - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence



Tower 3-Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence



## Tower 2 - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence



Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at 30 Deg Incidence
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Tower 3 - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence
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## PSF at 68\% - Class A.2.1

Tower 2 - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence


Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at 30 Deg Incidence


Tower 3-Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence


## MC normal incidence Tower 3

Tower 3 - MC Data - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence


$$
\text { Tower } 3 \text { - MC Data - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence }
$$



Tower 3 - MC Data - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence


## Data-MC comparison: PSF 68\%

Tower 3 - Data/MC Comparison - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence


## Data-MC comparison: PSF 68\% in Thick and Thin layers



Tower 3-Data/Mc Comparison - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence


## Data-MC comparison: PSF 95\%

Tower 3 - Data/MC Comparison - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence


## Data-MC comparison: PSF 95\% in Thick and Thin layers <br> Tower 3 - Data/MC Comparison - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence



Tower 3-Data/Mc Comparison - Ansular Reasotution Vs. Reconsatructod Enoray net Normat inciaenced


## Data-MC comparison: PSF 95\% to 68\% Ratio

Tower 3 - MC Data - Angular Resolution Vs. Reconstructed Energy at Normal Incidence


## Conclusion

- The angular dispersion has been evaluated at normal incidence in Tower 2 and 3 , at $30^{\circ}$ and at $50^{\circ}$
- All available photon runs (both full brems and tagged) have been merged
- The data are quite in agreement with the MC, at least at normal incidence

