Update on Analysis of CTBBestEnergy Selection in Pass 6 AllGamma data and BTElectron data

> Yvonne Edmonds 04/09/08

Questions From Last Week

- How does the selected energy reconstruction method depend on angle?
 - In pass6 all gamma data
 - allGamma-GR-v13r9p12
 - In bt electrons
- What variables are most important in deciding between reconstruction methods?

Pass6 AllGamma Data

CalLkHdEnergy **EvtEnergyCorr** CalCfpEnergy **CTBBestEnergy**

Deviation from MCEnergy for reconstructed energies in Pass6 allGamma Data





Energy Reconstruction at 10.0 GeV Energy Reconstruction at 20.0 GeV E (BTEnergy-EnergyMethod)/BTEnergy 20 40 20 40 60 Beam Angle (deg) Beam Angle (deg)

•Each plot shows the average percent deviation of reconstructed energy from McEnergy •The method average only includes the events which have CTBBestEnergy == Method Energy •Notice at 20° CTBBestEnergy falls in the middle of the reconstructed energies, suggesting a decrease in energy resolution due to CTBBestEnergy selection affects

mean

<u>McEnergy – MethodEnergy</u> <u>McEnergy</u>

×100%

CalLkHdEnergy EvtEnergyCorr CalCfpEnergy CTBBestEnergy

Deviation from MCEnergy for reconstructed energies in Pass 6 all gamma



The Bottom Row includes ALL events in the averages.



CTBBestEnergy Selection for Pass6 allGamma 5 GeV, 20°



CTBBestEnergy Selection for Pass6 allGamma 10 GeV, 20°





CTBBestEnergy Selection for Pass6 allGamma 20 GeV, 20°



CTBBestEnergy Selection for Pass6 allGamma 50 GeV, 20°





CTBBestEnergy Selection for Pass6 allGamma 100 GeV, 20°



CTBBestEnergy Selection for Pass6 allGamma 200 GeV, 20°





CTBBestEnergy Selection for Pass6 allGamma 300 GeV, 20°



Pass6 AllGamma skimmed merit file no cuts



BT Electron DATA

CalLkHdEnergy **Deviation from beam test energy EvtEnergyCorr** for reconstructed energies in CalCfpEnergy **Electron Data for 5-100 GeV CTBBestEnergy** Energy Reconstruction at 5.0 GeV Energy Reconstruction at 10.0 GeV Energy Reconstruction at 20.0 GeV (BTEnergy-EnergyMethod)/BTEnergy (%) (BTEnergy-EnergyMethod)/BTEnergy (%) (BTEnergy-EnergyMethod)/BTEnergy (%) -5 -5 -10 -10 -10 -15 -15 -15 -20∟ 0 -20∟ 0 -20 60 20 40 20 40 20 40 60 Beam Angle (deg) Beam Angle (deg) Beam Angle (deg) Energy Reconstruction at 50.0 GeV Energy Reconstruction at 100 GeV (BTEnergy-EnergyMethod)/BTEnergy (%) (BTEnergy-EnergyMethod)/BTEnergy (%) Looks like **CTBBestEnergy Is** all -10 -10 CalLkHdEnergy -15 -15 -20 -20 20 40 6(20 40 60 0 Beam Angle (deg) Beam Angle (deg) BTEnergy – MethodEnergy BTEnergy ×100% mean

CalLkHdEnergy EvtEnergyCorr CalCfpEnergy CTBBestEnergy

Deviation from beam test energy for reconstructed energies in Electron Data for 200 and 300 GeV



$$mean \left[\frac{BTEnergy - MethodEnergy}{BTEnergy} \right] \times 100\%$$

CTBBestEnergy Selection for BT electrons 100 GeV, 20°



CTBBestEnergy Selection for BT electrons 200 GeV, 10°



CTBBestEnergy Selection for BT electrons 300 GeV, 0°



CTBBestEnergy Selection for BT electrons 300 GeV, 30°



The % CTBBestEnergy chose each reconstruction method as a function of angle for BT Data



The % CTBBestEnergy chose each reconstruction method as a function of Energy for BT Data





Conclusions

- Energy peaks are smeared out during CT selection stage
- CalLkHdEnergy cuts off at 300 GeV and gives CTBBestEnergy a weird shape at high energies.