

- 1 **Budget, Schedule, Manpower**
 - Schedule, Costs, Manpower of the Proposal.
 - Discuss Test Run Costs
 - Discuss categorization into CE, Infra, OPS
 - Discuss schedule and budget changes due to the Option B
 - What controls do we have on foreign collaborators?..... to be developed
 - What do if late/over budget?...to be developed
 - What are our primary risks? How do we mitigate them?
 - Other issues from SLAC review?

- 2 **HPS Project Implementation Plan**
- 3 **HPS Project Org Chart**
- 4 **Matrix of Deliverables**
- 5 **Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)**

- 6 **HPS Project Execution Plan**
- 7 **Schedule Drivers**
- 8 **Schedule – Beamline (proposal)**
- 9 **Schedule – SVT Mechanics**
- 10 **Schedule – SVT DAQ**
- 11
- 12 **Schedule – ECAL+ TDAQ + Slow Control**
- 13 **Schedule – Installation, Commissioning and Data Runs**
- 14 **Schedule – Milestones toward the Data Runs**
- 15 **Costs – Tools and Methodology**
 1. Schedule and Costs are simultaneously managed with MS Project
 2. Tasks are tracked down to WBS Level 3 Min.
 3. Labor is added in hours by skills. M&S as number of required units

4. Only Engineering Labor + Overhead 53% (Material 7.65%)

5. Contingency :

- 10% Catalogue Items
- 20-25% Similar to previous design
- 30-50% New design

16 **HPS Total Costs = \$ 2,971,783**

17 **Costs Breakdown : Capital Equipments vs. Operations**

18

19 **Spending Profile**

20 **Manpower – FTE Labor Breakdown**

21 **Primary Risks**

Technology

Beamline Low

SVT Mechanics Low

SVT DAQ Medium

ECAL Low (Medium with new APDs)

TDAQ Low

Slow Control Low

Schedule

Delay on the subsystem on the critical path

Cost

Overspending

Late funding

Manpower

Short allocation

22 **Risks Mitigation**

.....need to be developed.....

23 **SLAC Director's Review Jan.11, 2013**

24

THE END