The Heavy Photon Search Experiment

Omar Moreno SLAC
On behalf of the Heavy Photon Search Experiment

U.S. Cosmic Visions: New Ideas in Dark Matter
University of Maryland
March 23-25 2017

What is a Heavy Photon?

Consider a theory in which nature contains an additional Abelian gauge symmetry, *U'(1)*

Holdom, Phys. Lett. B166, 1986

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{SM}} + \boxed{\frac{\varepsilon}{2} F^{Y,\mu\nu} F'_{\mu\nu}} + \frac{1}{4} F'^{\mu\nu} F'_{\mu\nu} + m^2_{A'} A'^{\mu} A'_{\mu}$$

This gives rise to a **kinetic mixing** term where the photon mixes with a new gauge boson ("dark/heavy photon" or *A'*) through the interactions of massive fields → **induces a weak coupling to electric charge**

Searching for a Heavy Photon

Since dark photons couple to electric charge, they will be produced through a process analogous to bremsstrahlung off heavy targets subsequently decaying to l^+l^-


Kinematics are very different from bremsstrahlung
- ✔ Production is sharply peaked at x ≈ 1 → A' takes most of the beam energy
- ✔ A' decay products opening angle, $m_{A'}/E_{beam}$

The HPS experiment was designed to make use of such a production mechanism to search for a heavy photon using two methods:

Resonance Search (Bump Hunt)

Look for a excess above the large QED background → Large signal required so limited to small coupling.

Displaced Vertex + Bump Hunt

Long lived A' will have a displaced vertex → Will help cut down prompt backgrounds but limited to high coupling

3

HPS Design Considerations

The *A'* decay products opening angle is small

✔ Need to be detected in the very forward region

Maximizing the acceptance to low mass *A'* decays requires placement of the detector close to the beam plane

✔ Need small beam size with minimal halo

Bump Hunt: Requires good mass resolution to fight high backgrounds

Displaced Vertex: Distinguishing *A'* decay vertices as Non-prompt requires good vertex resolution

✔ Both require a tracking system and magnet that are placed as close to the target as possible
✔ Mass and vertex resolution will be dominated by multiple scattering so tracker material needs to be minimized

Small coupling → small cross-section

✔ Requires high intensity beam
✔ High occupancy will require fast readout and trigger system

4

The HPS Apparatus

Electromagnetic Calorimeter
Used for triggering and particle ID

~10^{-3} X_0 Tungsten Target
Thin target to reduce multiple scattering

Pair Spectrometer
B = .25 T

Linear Shift Motion System
Allows adjustment of deadzone between SVT volumes

High intesity e^- beam
Courtesy of CEBAF @ JLab

e^-

e^+

e^-

SVT Vacuum Chamber
Si tracker placed in vacuum in order to avoid backgrounds due to beam-gas interactions

Silicon Vertex Tracker (SVT)
Split into two volumes to avoid intense flux of scattered beam electrons. Used for precise momentum and vertex determination

SVT + ECal DAQ capable of 50 kHz

Installed within the Hall B alcove at Jefferson Lab upstream of the CLAS12 detector

5

HPS Engineering Runs

HPS has successfully completed two engineering runs at JLab

✔ First took place in the Spring of 2015 using a 50 nA, 1.056 GeV electron beam

✔ Second took place in the Spring of 2016 using a 200 nA, 2.3 GeV electron beam

Goal: Understand the performance of the detector and take physics data.

✔ For the 2015 run, data was taken with the Silicon Vertex Tracker (SVT) in two configurations: active edge at 1.5 mm and 0.5 mm from the beam plane

✔ 2015: 10 mC with the SVT at 1.5 mm and 10 mC at 0.5 mm

✔ 2016: 92.5 mC with the SVT at 0.5 mm

The results shown in this talk used the unblinded portion (500 uC or ~74 nb^{-1}) data taken at 0.5 mm.

6

Backgrounds

The search for an *A'* involves looking for a narrow resonance in the e⁺e⁻ invariant mass spectrum on top of a large, continuous background composed of several components

Physics Backgrounds
Bethe-Heitler

Dominant, but most lies below the A' signal region.

Bethe-Heitler

A' Signal

$p(e^+)$ (GeV)

$p(e^-)$ (GeV)

Accidentals

ECal Cluster Time (ns)

ECal Cluster Time (ns)

True e+e- pairs will have time-coincident clusters in the calorimeter. Can be suppressed using time cuts and cuts used to remove scattered beam electrons.

Radiative

Irreducible. Kinematically identical to A' but can be used to understand expected *A'* rates.

$$\frac{d\sigma(e^- Z \rightarrow e - Z(A' \rightarrow l^+ l^-))}{d\sigma(e^- Z \rightarrow e - Z(\gamma^* \rightarrow l^+ l^-))} = \frac{3\pi\epsilon^2}{2N_{eff}\alpha}\frac{m_{A'}}{\delta m}$$

Wide Angle Bremsstrahlung (WAB)

Conversions of photons produced in the target and first few layers of the SVT can mimic a trident e+e- pair

Photon Line

ECal Hole

Beam e⁻

e⁺ from pair produc

e⁻ from pair production escaped detection

7

Suppressing Wide Angle Bremsstrahlung

Missing Layer 1 Hit

A majority of conversions will occur in layer 1 of the Silicon Vertex Tracker → positron will be missing a layer 1 hit

Layer 1 requirement removes 68% of WABS from final event sample! After all cuts, > 80% of WABs are rejected.

Does Positron Track Have a Layer 1 Hit?

Positron Track Distance of Closest Approach

If a conversion occurs in the silicon, the positron track will extrapolate to the side of the nominal target position.

P$_t$ Asymmetry

Because the conversion electron is missing there will be a p$_t$ imbalance.

2015 Engineering Run Performance

The 2015 engineering run has demonstrated that HPS is ready to do a meaningful search for heavy photons

✔ Hall B beamline was capable of delivering a small beam spot , low beam halo with high stability → allowed placing tracker 0.5 mm from the beam

✔ Excellent Ecal time and energy resolution allows for the efficient selection of true e+e- pairs

✔ Vertex resolution was as expected and sufficient to conduct a search for a displaced *A'*

Beam Position Stability

Vertex Resolution vs Mass

Ecal time resolution vs Energy

Beam stable to < 60 μm

σ_x ~100 μm-500 μm, σ_y < 50 μm

χ^2 / ndf	3620 / 19
p0	0.07485 ± 0.000135
p1	0.1124 ± 0.001021

$$\frac{p0}{E} + p1$$

< 500 ps

e+e- Mass Resolution

Data MØller invariant mass is within 10% of Monte Carlo

✔ Determined the resolution as a function of mass using *A'* and MØller Monte Carlo

✔ From data, use the MØller invariant mass distribution to measure the mass resolution

✔ Scale the MC mass resolution parameterization to match the data observation.

cb α = -1.4746 ± 0.014
cb μ = 0.0332364 ± 0.0000046
cb σ = 0.0014028 ± 0.0000061
gauss μ = 0.030000 ± 0.000065
gauss σ = 0.003528 ± 0.000058
n = 2.454 ± 0.038
nbkg = 5879 ± 514
nsig = 204054 ± 681

Scale MC to match Data

Bump Hunt Event Selection

Apply kinematic and goodness of track and vertex fit cuts to clean up accidentals. Reduces contamination from accidentals to < 1%

Requiring the sum of the e+e- pair momentum to be greater than 0.8 GeV greatly reduces the number of Bethe-Heitler background in our final sample.

Resonance Search Overview

- ✔ Search for a resonance in the mass range between 17 MeV and 90 MeV by scanning the e^+e^- invariant mass spectrum
  - ✔ Pseudo-experiments are used to set the optimal search window size
- ✔ Maximize the Poisson likelihood within the range using a composite model with the signal described as a **Gaussian** and a **7th order Chebyshev polynomial to model the background**
- ✔ Use Likelihood ratio to quantify significance of any excess i.e. "bump"
- ✔ Determine the 2σ signal upper limit at each mass hypothesis by inverting the likelihood ratio
- ✔ Translate the signal upper limit into the coupling-mass phase space

Preliminary

Search windows

Fit Results

Establishing whether the signal+background model is significantly different from the background-only model is typically done using the profile likelihood ratio and test statistic q_0

$$q_0 = \begin{cases} -2\ln\frac{\mathcal{L}(0,\hat{\hat{\theta}})}{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\mu},\hat{\theta})} & \hat{\mu} > 0 \\ 0 & \hat{\mu} < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$p = \int_{q_{0,obs}}^{\infty} f(q_0|0)\,dq_0$$

Use toy MC to determine the look-elsewhere correction

1σ global @ ~1.5x10^{-2}

13

Most Significant Bumps

The two bumps with the smallest p-values

Power Constrained 2σ Limits

Use power constrained limits → Require that the experiment has enough sensitivity to a signal yield before excluding

Upper Limit on Coupling Strength

No new territory is expected to be covered using the Engineering run data → **Review of full result is underway and will be released this Spring**

16

Status of Displaced Vertex Search

Vertex search using 2015 data is still ongoing
- ✔ One thesis has been completed, another one will be completed soon.

Reach is worse than we had projected → No vertex reach expected using 1.5 days of data
- ✔ Vertex decay efficiency assumed constant out to 10 cm
- ✔ MC used to make initial projections did not use the correct acceptance

Modest upgrades will allow recovery of reach for future runs
- ✔ The layers of the SVT will be moved closer to the beam → Increase acceptance
- ✔ Add an additional thin layer to the SVT at 5 cm → Improves vertex resolution and vertex efficiency
- ✔ Implement a positron only trigger → Will allow recovery of some of the reach lost due to the ECal hole.

Bump Hunt Experimental Reach

HPS has been approved for its full time of running (180 Days)!

First extended run is planned for the summer of 2018

2015 Engineering Run
1.5 days @ 1.05 GeV

2018-2020 Physics Run
12 Weeks @ 2.2 GeV
12 Weeks @ 4.4 GeV

Summary and Outlook

The Heavy Photon Search has successfully completed engineering runs in 2015 and 2016
- ✔ Detector performance was found to be as expected
- ✔ An additional source of background (WAB's) was found and mitigated
- ✔ HPS is now fully approved for its full time

Several analyses are ongoing
- ✔ 2015 Bump hunt analysis is currently under review and will be unblinded
- ✔ 2016 Bump hunt analysis and 2015/16 Vertex analysis are ongoing

Upgrades are being proposed that will help HPS extend its reach

Back Up

Silicon Vertex Tracker

Layer	1	2	3	4	5	6
z position from target (cm)	10	20	30	50	70	90
Stereo angle (mrad)	100	100	100	50	50	50
Bend plane resolution (μm)	≈ 6	≈ 6	≈ 6	≈ 6	≈ 6	≈ 6
Non-bend plane resolution (μm)	≈ 60	≈ 60	≈ 60	≈ 120	≈ 120	≈ 120
Nominal dead zone in y (mm)	± 1.5	± 3.0	± 4.5	± 7.5	± 10.5	± 13.5
Material budget	.7%	.7%	.7%	.7%	.7%	.7%

Six layers of pairs of Si microstrip sensors → One axial and the other at small angle stereo (50 & 100)

- ✔ Layer 1-3: single sensor
- ✔ Layer 4-6: double width coverage to better match Ecal acceptance
- ✔ 36 sensors
- ✔ 180 APV25 chips
- ✔ 23,004 channels

Silicon Microstrip Sensors

Developed for D0 RunIIb upgrade
- ✔ Radiation tolerant: expect fluence of 4.8×10^{15} e⁻ in 6 months of running
  - ✔ Breakdown voltage: ~1000 V
- ✔ < 1 %X_0 per layer

| Cut dimensions (L×W)	100 mm x 40.34 mm
Active area (L×W)	98.33 mm x 38.34 mm
Readout (Sense) pitch	60 (30) μm
# Readout (Sense) strips	639 (1277)
Breakdown voltage	> 1000 V
Depletion voltage	> 130 V
Bias Resistor Value	0.8 ± 0.3 MΩ
AC Coupling Capacitance	> 12 pF/cm
Total Interstrip Capacitance	< 1.2 pF/cm
Defective Channels	< 1 %

Readout Electronics: APV25

Originally developed for CMS

✔ Radiation tolerant

✔ Low noise (S/N>25)

✔ 40 MHz "Multi-peak" 6 sample readout allows for shaper output reconstruction

✔ 2 ns resolution

| # Readout Channels	128
Input Pitch	44μ
Shaping Time	50 ns nom. (adjustable)
Output Format	multiplexed analog
Noise Performance	$270 + 36 \times C(pF)\ e^-$
Power Consumption	345 mW

24 ns

23

SVT Support, Cooling and Services

Cooled support channels for L1 - L3

L1-L3 movable using linear shifts

Cooled support channels for L4 - L6

DAQ/power inside chamber and on cooling plate

SVT DAQ

Electromagnetic Calorimeter

- ❏ Comprised of 442 PbW04 crystals coupled to avalanche photodiode readout
- ❏ FADC readout at 250 MHz → allows for a narrow trigger window (8ns)
- ❏ Trigger and DAQ capable of a rate > 50 kHz

Trigger

Crate Trigger Processor
Contains cluster finding algorithm. Searches for clusters in every 3x3 array of crystals. If sum exceeds threshold and is isolated, amplitude, position, time and hit are reported to SSP.

Trigger Supervisor
Generates trigger signal

Flash ADC
Samples Ecal crystal APD's @ 250 MHz. If signal crosses threshold, integrated amplitude and crossing time is sent to CTP

Sub-System Processor
Searches for pairs that within an 8 ns window and applies a topological selection

HPS Calorimeter (442 Channels):

FADC 221 Channels
FADC 221 Channels
CTP
CTP
SSP
TS

Beam Quality

X, Y and 45 degree beam profiles from Harp scan.

Successful running of the HPS apparatus requires a high quality beam with very low halo.

✔ σ_x ~100 μm to 500 μm: Spreads the target heat load to avoid damage.

✔ σ_y < 50 μm: Required to keep occupancies down and for vertexing

Beam profile and position was measured using a harp 234 cm upstream of the target.

Fast Shut-Down was implemented in order to stop the beam in ~ 5 ms if halo counter rates increased above threshold.


2H02A wire scans

Look Elsewhere

✔ Use MC to generate smooth invariant mass distribution → create pdf

✔ Generated 10,000 toys and perform a resonance search on each.

✔ Chose the smallest p-value from each scan, ranked them and calculate the quantile

Radiative Fraction

Translating the signal upper limit into the mass-coupling phase space requires knowledge of the fraction of radiative events in our event sample → use Monte Carlo to parametrize the radiative fraction as a function of mass.

Design is not Final yet

Almost 100% of e⁺ have x > 90,

Trident Simulation

Data

Fake tracks

Positron side

Electron side

e^+

e^-

Negative
Positive
Tot. charged

Total charged particle
rate at x > 90 mm is less than 4KHz

In combination with Hodoscpe and Ecal,
trigger rate will drop from 17 Khz to about 4
KHz

A'(50MeV) decay at 2.2 GeV