

Search for GeV-TeV emission from GRB 080319B using the Milagro Observatory



T. Aune¹ for the Milagro Collaboration²

¹University of California, Santa Cruz

²A. A. Abdo, B. T. Allen, T. Aune, D. Berley, E. Blaufuss, S. Casanova, C. Chen, B. L. Dingus, R. W. Ellsworth, M. M. Gonzalez, J. A. Goodman, E. Hays, C. M. Hoffman, B. Hopper, P. H. Hüntemeyer, B. E. Kolterman, C. P. Lansdell, J. T. Linnemann, J. E. McEnery, A. I. Mincer, P. Nemethy, D. Noyes, J. M. Ryan, P. M. Saz Parkinson, A. Shoup, G. Sinnis, A. J. Smith, G. W. Sullivan, V. Vasileiou, G. P. Walker, D. A. Williams, X. W. Xu and G. B. Yodh aune@physics.ucsc.edu

Summary

One of the brightest gamma-ray bursts every recorded, the "naked-eye" GRB 080319B was fortuitously located within the field of view of the Milagro observatory. No emission was seen by Milagro and upper limits on the prompt emission, that strongly constrain the popular single-zone synchrotron self-Compton model of gamma-ray bursts, are presented here.

Abstract

On March 19, 2008 NASA's Swift satellite observed one of the brightest gamma-ray bursts ever recorded. With a peak visual magnitude of 5.3, GRB 080319B was dubbed the "naked-eye" burst. Due to the proximity in both time and space to GRB080319A, prompt emission from GRB 080319B was detected in both the optical and γ -ray bands by several wide-field instruments. Follow-up observations spanned 11.5 orders of magnitude in wavelength, making GRB 080319B one of the most well-studied gamma-ray bursts to date. A search for GeV-TeV emission from GRB 080319B is presented here. No evidence for emission is found. The fluence upper limits derived from the Milagro observations are incompatible with the standard synchrotron self-Compton model of gamma-ray bursts, which predicts a strong second order inverse-Compton peak at tens of GeV in the spectrum of GRB 080319B.

GRB 080319B



structure for the structure of the stru

- Figure 3: Prompt lightcurve of GRB 080319B from [4]. Black: Konus-WIND, Red: TOR-TORA, Blue: Pi of the Sky. The temporal coincidence of the onset and cessation in both the optical and gamma-ray emission indicate that both may originate at the same site.
- Extremely bright peak optical magnitude of 5.3
- Detected in γ -rays by Swift and Konus/WIND
- $T_{90} = 60 \text{ s} (50 150 \text{ keV})$
- In the FOV of Milagro $(\sim 50^{\circ} \text{ elevation})$

• *z* = 0.937



Figure 4: SED of the prompt emission, also from [4]. High energy points are Konus/WIND, with best fit Band functions for thre different time intervals (R,G,B). Low energy points are from "Pi of the Sky". The four order of magnitude difference between the gamma-ray extrapolation and the optical suggests different radiation mechanisms.

A GRB model which explains the optical/MeV observations is the synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) model.

The Milagro Observatory



Milagro Observations

Two methods of Milagro data analysis:

- Standard event-by-event shower reconstruction
- "Scaler" or single-particle technique [5, 1] Scaler Analysis:
- No shower reconstruction/angular resolution
- Sum counts from each PMT each second
- Search for rates above background coincident



Figure 5: Scaler lightcurve with GRB 080319B T_{90} (blue) and the 99% confidence upper limit on the number of counts (red).



Figure 1: Inside the Milagro pond, with cover inflated

- Extensive Air Shower Array
- Central Pond
- 450 PMTs submerged 1.5 m
- –273 PMTs submerged 6 m
- Array of Outriggers Single PMT in a small tank
- 2630 m a.s.l
- 2 sr FOV, >90% duty cycle
- 5000 m² pond, 40000 m² outrigger array
- Operated nearly continuously Jan. 2000 May, 2008





Figure 2: (left) The Milagro observatory. (right) A cut-away outrigger.

with GRBs

• Improved low-energy (<100 GeV) sensitivity

Flux limits on GRB 080319B:

- Testing the hypothesis of a synchrotron+SSC emission spectrum
- Second inverse Compton (IC) peak located at ~GeV energies
- Second IC peak parameterized as a Band function
 [2] with α, β from well-measured first IC peak
- Intrinsic burst spectrum attenuated by EBL at high energies (Gilmore '09)[3]
- Figure 6: Milagro scaler effective area vs. energy (red), theoretical second-IC peak of GRB 080319B ($E_{peak} = 30$ GeV) (green), and the latter after factoring in absorption of VHE photons by the EBL model of Gilmore *et al.*[3].

Results

E _{peak} (GeV) 3 10 30 100 300	$\frac{E^2 dN/dE (erg cm^{-2} s^{-1})}{< 3.1 \times 10^{-4}}$ $< 2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ $< 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ $< 9.7 \times 10^{-6}$ $< 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	$egin{array}{c} Y_2 \\ 26 \\ 7 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 16 \end{array}$	<pre> 10⁻³ 5^o 10⁻³</pre>
$\begin{array}{c} 300 \\ 1000 \end{array}$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ $< 4.6 \times 10^{-5}$	4 12	10^{-6}

References

- [1] D. Allard *et al.*. Detecting grbs with the pierre auger observatory using the single particle technique. In *Proc. 29th International Cosmic Ray Conference*, Pune, India, 2005. astro-ph/0508441.
- [2] D. Band, J. Matteson, L. Ford, B. Schaefer, D. Palmer, B. Teegarden, T. Cline, M. Briggs, W. Paciesas, G. Pendleton, G. Fishman, C. Kouveliotou, C. Meegan, R. Wilson, and P. Lestrade. BATSE observations of gamma-ray burst spectra. I - Spectral diversity. *Astrophys. J.*, 413:281–292, August 1993.
- [3] R. C. Gilmore, P. Madau, J. R. Primack, R. S. Somerville, and F. Haardt. GeV Gamma-Ray Attenuation and the High-Redshift UV Background. *ArXiv e-prints*, May 2009.
- [4] J. L. Racusin *et al.*. Broadband observations of the naked-eye γ -ray burst GRB080319B. *Nature*, 455:183–188, September 2008.
- [5] G. Di Sciascio and T. Di Girolamo. Grbs search results with the argo-ybj experiment operated in scaler mode. In Proc. "The Multi-Messenger Approach to High Energy Gamma-Ray Sources" Workshop, Barcelona, Spain, 2006. astro-ph/0609317.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge Scott Delay and Michael Schneider for their dedicated efforts in the construction and maintenance of the Milagro experiment. This work has been supported by the National Science Foundation (under grants PHY-0245234, -0302000, -0400424, -0504201, -0601080, and ATM-0002744) the US Department of Energy (Office of High-Energy Physics and Office of Nuclear Physics), Los Alamos National Laboratory, the University of California, and the Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics. Table 1: Milagro scaler upper limits on the flux and corresponding Compton Y₂ parameter for several assumed values of the second IC E_{peak}.

• Standard SSC Model predicts:

 $E_{peak,IC2} pprox rac{E_{peak,IC1}^2}{E_{peak,syn}} pprox 23 rac{20 \, eV}{E_{peak,syn}} \, GeV$

– $E_{peak,IC2}$ is inversely related to $E_{peak,syn}$ which is not precisely determined

$$Y \sim \frac{\nu F_{\nu}(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{peak,IC1}})}{\nu F_{\nu}(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{peak,syn}})} \gtrsim 10$$

- The amount of energy in the second IC peak should be at least 10 times that in the first IC peak

• Klein-Nishina effects important at

$$E \gtrsim 50 \frac{\Gamma}{1000} \sqrt{\frac{20 \,\mathrm{eV}}{E_{\mathrm{peak,syn}}}} \,\mathrm{GeV}$$



Figure 7: $E^2 dN/dE$ plot of the prompt emission from GRB 080319B from TORTORA (optical) and Konus/WIND (MeV γ -rays). Included are the Milagro scaler upper limits on the second IC Band function peak.

• 99% confidence level U.L.s on GRB flux and Compton Y_2 parameter corresponding to E_{peak} values of the assumed Band spectrum where:

$$Y_2 = rac{
u F_{
u}(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{peak,IC2}})}{
u F_{
u}(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{peak,IC1}})}$$

• SSC model constrained over a wide range of energies